## The Implementation of House Bill 22

Collaborating to Build a Better accountability system

## Sc hool Progress: Growth



## School Progress: Two Aspects to Progress

## Part A: Student Growth
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## STAAR: Test Inclusion Methodology

- Includes all tests (STAAR with and without accommodations and STAAR Altemate 2) combined
- Combines reading and mathematics
- Uses STAAR Progress Mea sure
- IncludesELs (except in their first year in US schools)
- Uses sa me STAAR Progress Measure for ELs and non-Els
- Because the first STAAR tests are given in third grade, we can't assess growth using the STAAR Progress Measure until fourth grade.
- In high school, there are limitationsto measuring growth with STAAR. It can only possibly be done for 9th graders who take Algebra I, and then only for 9th and 10th graders ta king Eng lish I or English II. At this point, only Relative Performance will be analyzed in high school.


## Student Growth: Measuring Advancement



## Student Growth: Percenta ge of Students Ga ining

## CurentYear

|  | Does Not Meet Grade Level | Approaches Grade Level | Meets Grade Level | Masters Grade Level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Does Not Meet <br> Grade Level | Met/Exceeded <br> Growth Measure $=1$ pt <br> Did not meet $=\mathbf{0}$ pts | Met/Exceeded <br> Growth Measure $=1$ pt <br> Did not meet $=.5 \mathrm{pts}$ | 1 pt | 1 pt |
| Approaches Grade Level | Met/Exceeded <br> Growth Measure $=\mathbf{1}$ pt <br> Did not meet $=\mathbf{0} \mathrm{pts}$ | Met/Exceeded <br> Growth Measure $=1$ pt <br> Did not meet $=.5 \mathrm{pts}$ | 1 pt | 1 pt |
| Meets Grade Level | 0 pts | 0 pts | 1 pt | 1 pt |
| Masters Grade Level | 0 pts | 0 pts | 0 pts | 1 pt |

## Student Growth: Percenta ge of Students Gaining

Current Year


## No Points

- Does Not Meet to Does Not Meet (without meeting growth expectations)
- Approachesto Does Not Meet (without meeting growth expectations)
- Meetsto Does Not Meet
- Meetsto Approaches
- Mastersto Does Not Meet
- Mastersto

Approaches

- Mastersto Meets


## Student Growth: Percenta ge of Students Gaining

CumentYear

|  | Does Not Meet <br> Grade Level | Approaches Grade Level | Meets Grade Level | Masters Grade Level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Does Not Meet <br> Grade Level | Met/Exceeded <br> Growth Measure $=1$ pt <br> Did not meet $=0 \mathrm{pts}$ | Met/Exceeded <br> Did not meet $=.5 \mathrm{pts}$ | 1 pt | 1 pt |
| Approaches Grade Level | Met/Exceeded <br> Growth Measure $=1 \mathrm{pt}$ <br> Did not meet $=0 \mathrm{pts}$ |  | 1 pt | 1 pt |
| Meets Grade Level | 0 pts | 0 pts | 1 pt | 1 pt |
| Masters Grade Level | 0 pts | 0 pts | 0 pts | 1 pt |

## Half Point

- Does Not Meet to Approaches (without meeting growth expectations)
- Approachesto Approaches (without meeting growth expectations)


## Student Growth: Percenta ge of Students Ga ining

## CurentYear

|  | Does Not Meet Grade Level | Approaches Grade Level | Meets Grade Level | Masters Grade Level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Does Not Meet Grade Level | Met/Exceeded Growth Measure =1 pt $\qquad$ | Met/Exceeded Growth Measure $=1$ pt $\qquad$ | 1 pt | 1 pt |
| Approaches Grade Level | Met/Exceeded <br> Growth Measure $=1$ pt | Met/Exceeded <br> Growth Measure $=1$ pt | 1 pt | 1 pt |
| Meets Grade Level | 0 pts | 0 pts | 1 pt | 1 pt |
| Masters Grade Level | 0 pts | 0 pts | 0 pts | 1 pt |

## One Point

- Does Not Meet to Approaches (meeting/exceeding growth expectations)
- Approachesto Approaches (meeting/exceeding growth expectations)
- Does Not Meet to Meets
- Does Not Meet to Masters
- Approachesto Meets
- Approachesto Masters
- Meets to Meets
- Meets to Masters
- Mastersto Masters
- Does Not Meet to Does Not Meet
(meeting/exceeding growth expectations)
- Approachesto Does Not Meet (meeting/exceeding growth expectations)


## Student Growth: Sample Calculation

## One Hundred Students

- Each with reading and mathematics results for last year and this year
- Denominator $=200$ STAAR Progress Measures
$\frac{?}{200}$



## Student Growth: Sample Calculation

## No Points

- Does Not Meetto Does Not Meet (without meeting growth expectations)
- Approaches to Does Not Meet (without meeting growth expectations)
- Masters to Meets

Previous Year Current Year Count of Tests
20

## $+$

15
$+$
14

49


## Student Growth: Sample Calculation

## Half Point

- Does Not Meetto Approaches (without meeting growth expectations)
- Approaches to Approaches (without meeting growth expectations)

Previous Year Current Year Count of Tests


## 7

$+$
10


## Student Growth: Sample Calculation

## One Point

- Does Not Meetto Does Not Meet (meeting/exceeding growth expectations)
- Approachesto Does Not Meet (meeting/exceeding growth expectations)*
- Approachesto Approac hes (meeting/exceeding growth expectations)

Previous Year Current Year Count of Tests


23

$$
+
$$

52
*Very rare but statistic ally possible


## Student Growth: Sample Calculation

## One Point

- Meets to Meets
- Meets to Masters
- Masters to Masters

Previous Year Curent Year Count of Tests



15

## Surdent Growth: Sample Calculation

| $\left.\stackrel{\downarrow}{\downarrow} \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ (49 \times 0)+(17 \times .5) \end{array}\right)$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 142.5 |
|  | 200 |  | 200 |

In this case, we loosely conclude that $71 \%$ of students have gained a year academic ally. Technically, however, this is the percentage of tests taken, with some adjustment formainta ining proficiency.


## Sc hool Progress Domain: Feedback Opportunities

- New approach to growth
- Additional ways to measure growth in high school
- Percentage of students who need to grow to constitute
- Excellent performance
- Minimally acceptable performance

Part A Scores: Frequency by Campus Type

|  | Eementary <br> $(4,219)$ | Middle School <br> $(1,653)$ | K-12 <br> $(334)$ | District <br> $(1,203)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quantile | PartA Sc ore (ba sed on modeling data from 2017 accountability) |  |  |  |
| $100 \%$ (Max) | 100 | 96 | 100 | 100 |
| $99 \%$ | 88 | 85 | 87 | 86 |
| $95 \%$ | 84 | 81 | 83 | 79 |
| $90 \%$ | 82 | 78 | 80 | 77 |
| $75 \%$ (Q3) | 78 | 75 | 76 | 73 |
| $50 \%$ (Med) | 73 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| $25 \%$ (Q1) | 68 | 65 | 64 | 66 |
| $10 \%$ | 63 | 61 | 59 | 62 |
| $5 \%$ | 59 | 59 | 56 | 59 |
| $1 \%$ | 52 | 54 | 45 | 49 |
| $0 \%$ (Min) | 34 | 41 | 0 | 24 |

## Common Questions: School Progress Doma in, Part A

Q: Is there no additional credit for meeting or exceeding growth at the Meets and Masters levels?

A: Students at Meets or Masters a re given the same one point asstudents who show growth at Does Not Meet and Approaches.
Q: Slide 14 shows an example of a student who falls from Approaches Grade Level one year to Does Not Meet the next year a nd still meets STAAR Progress Measure expectations. Can this really happen?
A: It's very rare, but, sta tistic ally, it's possible when a student skips a grade. Our modelling with 2017 data produced ten such instances in the entire state.

Q: Why are high schools only scored on relative performance? Isthere no growth measure for high school?
A: The relatively few STAAR Progress Measures for high sc hool make them an unreliable measure of a high school's progress with students. But the STAAR Progress Mea sure scores will be available on TAPR.

## School Progress: Two Aspects to Progress

## Part A: Student Growth

# Part B: Relative Performance 
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## Common Questions: Sc hool Progress Doma in

Q: Does the Student Achievement domain score (y-axis in relative performance) include CCMR and graduation rates?
A: Yes, forschools that have that data.

Q: House Bill 22 specific ally says that the method used to evaluate performance should provide for the mathematical possibility that all districts and campuses receive an $A$, but this looks like a forced distribution that guarantees a set percentage of schools will get Ds and Fs.
A: Once the cut points are set using 2016-17 accountability data, the cut points will stay fixed for five years. That way a ny district or campus will be able to eam an A.

## Relative Performance: Measuring School Progress

- Scatter plot of each district and campus(by campustype) comparing
- Student Achievement domain score
- Percentage of studentswho are economically disadvantaged
- Trendline showing a verage relationships
- Sliding cut points for campuses and districts based on
- Student Achievement domain score
- Percentage of students who are economically disadvantaged
- Cut points for each grade based on bands below and above the average line
- Separate cut points
- Elementary Schools
- Middle Schools
- High Schools/K-12
- AEAs
- Cut points based on slope-intercept form
- Based on 2016-17 performance
- Intended to stay fixed for five years
- Cut points will be known before ratings release


## Relative Performance: Sample C alculation

- $y=m x+b$
- $y$ is the predicted Student Achievement doma in score.
- $x$ is the percentage of students who a re economic ally disa dva nta ged.
- $m$ is the slope of the trendline.
- $b$ is the distance from the trendline (what decides the grade); it is based on a verage va ria nce from trend line.
- Sample Middle School
- 94.4\%economically disa dva nta ged $(x)$
- $y=-.15666(x)+45.789$
- $y=-.15666(94.4)+45.789$
- $y=-14.79+45.789$
- Predicted Student Achievement doma in score $(y)=31$
- Actual Student Achievement domain score: 25
- Score in relative performance: D


## Sc hool Progress Domain: Feedback Opportunities

- New approach to growth
- Additional ways to measure growth in high school
- Percentage of students who need to grow to constitute
- Excellent performance
- Minimally acceptable performance
- Combining two parts
- Best of
- Weighted average
- Average
- For Part B, what is the right cut points for
" Excellent performance
- Unacceptable performance


## Questions and Feedback

## Feedback

- Survey link to come by email
- feedbackAF@tea.texas.gov



## Resources

- http://tea.texas.gov/A-F
- http://tea.texas.gov/accountability
- performance.reporting@tea.texas.gov
- (512) 463-9704

