## The Implementation of House Bill 22

Collaborating to Build a Better accountability system

## Closing the Gaps: Ensuring Educ ational Equity
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## Closing the Gaps: Ensuring Educ ational Equity

## Student Groups

- All Students
- African American
- Hispanic
- White
- American Indian
- Asian
- Pacific Islander
- Two orMore Races
- Economically Disa dvantaged
- Current and Former Special Education
- Current and Monitored English Leamers
- Continuously Enrolled/Non-Continuously Enrolled


## Indicators

- Academic Achievement in Reading, Mathematics, Writing, Science and Social Studies
- Growth in Reading and Mathematics (Elementary and Middle Schools)
- Graduation Rates
- English Lea mer La nguage Proficiency Status
- College, Career, and Military Readiness Performance
- At or Above Meets Grade Level Performance in Reading and Mathematics


## Closing the Gaps: Student Groups

## Current and Former Special Education

- Defined by HB 22
- Formerly receiving special education services
" The student was reported in PEIMS the preceding yearas enrolled at the campusand participating in a special education program.
- The student is reported (PEIMS and STAAR answer documents) as enrolled at the campus in the current yearand not participating in a special education program.
- Current modeling shows that this affects a p proximately 110 districts and six campuses when a the minimum-size criteria of 25 is applied.


## Closing the Gaps: Student Groups

## Continuously Enrolled and Non-Continuously Enrolled

- Not defined by HB 22
- Districts
- Grades 4-12: Enrolled at a district in the fall sna pshot in the current school year and each of the three previous years


## Feedback <br> Opportunity

Should we use an
altemate definition? If
so, what?

- Grade 3: Enrolled at a district in the fall snapshot in the current school year and each of the previous two years
- Campuses
- Grades 4-12: Enrolled at a campus in the fall snapshot in the current school year and in the same district in each of the three previous years
- Grade 3: Enrolled at a campus in the fall snapshot in the current school year and in the same districteach of the previous two years


## Closing the Gaps: Student Groups

## Curent and Monitored Es

- Allowed by ESSA
- Current ELs
- ELs through their fourth year of monitoring.


## Closing the Gaps: Indic ators

## Academic Achievement

- STAAR Performance (percentage at orAbove ApproachesGrade Level)
- Targets by subject area
- English Language Arts/Reading
- Mathematics
- Writing
- Science
- Social Studies
- Targets stable for five years
- Safe Harbor/Required Improvement applied


## Closing the Gaps: Indic ators

## Growth/Graduation Rates

- Elementary and Middle Schools
- English Language Arts/Reading (School Progress Domain)
- Mathematics (School Progress Domain)
- High Schools, K-12, Districts

Federal Graduation Rates (without exclusions)

- Targets stable for five years
- Safe Harbor/Required Improvement applied


## English Language Proficiency Status

- TELPAS Progress Rate
- Current ELs


## Closing the Gaps: Indic ators

## School Quality or Student Suc cess

- High Schools, K-12, and Districts

College, Career, and Military Readiness (Student Achievement doma in)

- Targets stable for five years
- Safe Harbor/Required Improvement applied
- Elementary and Middle Schools STAAR Grade 3-8 Performance
- Reading (percentage at or above Meets Grade Level)
- Mathematics (percentage at orabove Meets Grade Level)
- Targets stable for five years
- Safe Harbor/Required Improvement applied


## Closing the Gaps: Ensuring Educ ational Equity



## Closing the Gaps Domain: Common Questions

Q: Must every student group meet each of the indicators?

A: Campuses and districts will be evaluated for each student group and associated indic atorthat hasdata and meets minimum-size criteria.
Q: Must a district or campus meet every one of the indic ators for which it has data in orderto make an A?
A: Not necessarily. Our current plan is to determine grade cut points based on the percentage of indicators met.

Q: If looking at students who formenly receive special education services as a student group affects so few districts and campuses, why is it being included in accountability
A: Looking at that specific student group is required by House Bill 22.

Q: Why does the accounta bility system now include former ELs in their third a nd fourth year of monitoring?
A: The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) allows it.

Closing the Gaps: Aligning Accounta bility Systems


Closing The Gaps


## Closing the Gaps: Sample Status Report



## Closing the Gaps: Sample Status Report

All African
Students American Hispanic White

|  | STAAR Performance Status (Percentage at or above Approaches Grade Level) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Target | \#\#\% | \#\#\% | \#\#\% | \#\#\% |
|  | Reading | Y | Y | Y | Y |
|  | Mathematics | Y | Y | Y | Y |
|  | Writing | Y | Y | Y | Y |
|  | Science | Y | Y | Y | Y |
|  | Social Studies | Y | Y | Y | Y |

## Closing the Gaps: Alignment with ESSA



## Closing the Gaps: Alignment with ESSA

El
(Cument)

| 邑 | English Leamer Language Proficiency Status | \#\#\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TELPAS Progress Rate Target TELPASProgress Rate | Y |

## Closing the Gaps: Progress of ELs

- EL Progress reflects an English Leamer'sprogresstowards achieving English language proficiency.
- Data source is TELPAS results.
- Accountability subset rule is a pplied.
- A student is considered having made the ELProgress if
- he/she advancesby at least one score of the composite rating from the prioryear to the current year, or
" his/her result is "Advanced High."
- If the prior yearcomposite rating is not available, second or third yearprior are used.
- The minimum size is 25 .
- Small number analysis is a pplied if there are fewerthan 25 current EL students.


## Closing the Gaps: Alignment with ESSA

## All African <br> Students American Hispanic White

## College, Career, and Military Readiness Performance Status (High Schools and K-12)

Target
College, Career, and Military Readiness
\#\#\%
Y
\#\#\%
Y
\#\#\%
Y
\#\#\%
Y

STAAR Grade 3-8 Reading and Mathematics Performance (at or above Meets Grade Level Standard) (Elementary and Middle Schools)
Target
Reading
\#\#\%

Mathematics
Y
$\# \# \%$
$Y$
$Y$
\#\#\%

Y
\#\#\%
Y
$Y \quad Y$
Y
Y

## Closing the Gaps: Safe Harbor Provision

## Safe Harbor

- To avoid unintended consequences
- Available for all indicators
- For districts and campuses that do not meet the target on an indicator
District and campuses that miss a target will have no negative consequences if they make suffic ient progress over the previous year.
The progress must be enough that (if continued at that rate) a district or campus would meet an interim or long-term goal in a specified a mount of time.


## Closing the Gaps: Safe HarborC alculation

## Variables

- Last year's result
- This year's result
- Goal (interim or long term)
- Years to meet goal


## Example One Scenario

Performance on mathematics STAAR by students in special education

- Last year'sscore (45)
- This year's score (53)
- Goal (interim) (80)
- Yearsto meet goal (5)


## Example One Calculation

- Last year's result missed the target by 35 points ( $80-45=35$ )
- Because the years to meet goal is 5 , this campus must improve its sc ore for this indic ator by 7 points each year ( $35 \div 5=7$ ).
- This year'sscore is 8 points better than last year's(53-45=8)
- Safe harbor is invoked.
- There are no negative consequences of missing that target for this indicator.


## Closing the Gaps: Safe HarborC alculation

## Example Two Scenario

Performance on mathematics STAAR by students in special educ ation

- Last year'sscore (60)
- This year's sc ore (61)
- Goal (long term) (90)
- Yearsto meet goal (15)


## Example Two Calculation

- Last year's result missed the ta rget by 30 points $(90-60=30)$


## Example Two Calc ulation (cont.)

- Because the years to meet goal is 15 , this campus must improve its score for this indic ator by 2 points each year ( $30 \div 15=2$ ) .
- This year'sscore is 1 points better tha n last year's $(61-60=1)$
- Safe harbor is not invoked.
- There are negative consequences of missing that target for this indic ator.


## Feedback Opportunity

Should we apply the same standard for expectation to all student groups, given safe harbor rules?

## Closing the Gaps: Data Modeling

## Percentage of Elementary Schools Meeting Achievement Target

## With Safe Harbor

| Group | Frequency | Percent | Cumulative <br> Frequency | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0 0 - 2 0 \%}$ | 763 | 17.58 | 763 | 17.58 |
| $\mathbf{2 1 - 4 0 \%}$ | 930 | 21.43 | 1693 | 39.01 |
| $\mathbf{4 1 - 6 0 \%}$ | 929 | 21.41 | 2622 | 60.41 |
| $\mathbf{6 1 - 8 0 \%}$ | 868 | 20.00 | 3490 | 80.41 |
| $\mathbf{8 1 - 1 0 0 \%}$ | 850 | 19.59 | 4340 | 100.00 |

Without Safe Harbor

| Group | Frequency | Percent | Cumulative <br> Frequency | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0 0 - 2 0 \%}$ | 2018 | 46.50 | 2018 | 46.50 |
| $\mathbf{2 1 - 4 0 \%}$ | 710 | 16.36 | 2728 | 62.86 |
| $\mathbf{4 1 - 6 0 \%}$ | 547 | 12.60 | 3275 | 75.46 |
| $\mathbf{6 1 - 8 0 \%}$ | 483 | 11.13 | 3758 | 86.59 |
| $\mathbf{8 1 - 1 0 0 \%}$ | 582 | 13.41 | 4340 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |

## Closing the Gaps: Data Modeling

## Percentage of Middle Schools Meeting Achievement Target

## With Safe Harbor

| Group | Frequency | Percent | Cumulative <br> Frequency | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0 0 - 2 0 \%}$ | 254 | 15.37 | 254 | 15.37 |
| $\mathbf{2 1 - 4 0 \%}$ | 384 | 23.23 | 638 | 38.60 |
| $\mathbf{4 1 - 6 0 \%}$ | 426 | 25.77 | 1064 | 64.37 |
| $\mathbf{6 1 - 8 0 \%}$ | 338 | 20.45 | 1402 | 84.82 |
| $\mathbf{8 1 - 1 0 0 \%}$ | 251 | 15.18 | 1653 | 100.00 |

Without Safe Harbor

| Group | Frequency | Percent | Cumulative <br> Frequency | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0 0 - 2 0 \%}$ | 903 | 54.63 | 903 | 54.63 |
| $\mathbf{2 1 - 4 0 \%}$ | 249 | 15.06 | 1152 | 69.69 |
| $\mathbf{4 1 - 6 0 \%}$ | 224 | 13.55 | 1376 | 83.24 |
| $\mathbf{6 1 - 8 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 6}$ | 9.44 | 1532 | 92.68 |
| $\mathbf{8 1 - 1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 1}$ | 7.32 | $\mathbf{1 6 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |

## Closing the Gaps: Data Modeling

## Percentage of High Schools Meeting Achievement Target

## With Safe Harbor

| Group | Frequency | Percent | Cumulative <br> Frequency | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0 0 - 2 0 \%}$ | 36 | 2.83 | 36 | 2.83 |
| $\mathbf{2 1 - 4 0 \%}$ | 140 | 11.01 | 176 | 13.84 |
| $\mathbf{4 1 - 6 0 \%}$ | 355 | 27.91 | 531 | 41.75 |
| $\mathbf{6 1 - 8 0 \%}$ | 434 | 34.12 | 965 | 75.86 |
| $\mathbf{8 1 - 1 0 0 \%}$ | 307 | 24.14 | 1272 | 100.00 |

Without Safe Harbor

| Group | Frequency | Percent | Cumulative <br> Frequency | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0 0 - 2 0 \%}$ | 174 | 13.68 | 174 | 13.68 |
| $\mathbf{2 1 - 4 0 \%}$ | 291 | 22.88 | 465 | 36.56 |
| $\mathbf{4 1 - 6 0 \%}$ | 362 | 28.46 | 827 | 65.02 |
| $\mathbf{6 1 - 8 0 \%}$ | 243 | 19.10 | 1070 | 84.12 |
| $\mathbf{8 1 - 1 0 0 \%}$ | 202 | 15.88 | 1272 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |

## Closing the Gaps: Alignment with ESSA

## Identification of Schools: Comprehensive Support and Improvement

- Lowest-performing five percent of campuses based on overall A-F grade
- High schools with less than 67 percent graduation rate
- Certa in targeted schools that do not improve in a specified time
- Beginning in summer 2018 based on 2017-18 data
- Updated at least every three years thereafter


## Closing the Gaps: Alignment with ESSA

## Identific ation of Schools: Targeted Support and Improvement

- Three consecutive years of missing a target in the same student group on the same indic ator
- Summer 2019 based on 2017, 2018, and 2019 data

|  | Multi-Year Performance Status |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Consecutive Years Missing Performance Target |  |  |  |  |
|  | Reading | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Mathematics | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Multi-Year Growth Status |  |  |  |  |
|  | Consecutive Years Missing Growth Target |  |  |  |  |
|  | Reading | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Mathematics | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Multi-Year Graduation Status Consecutive Years Missing Graduation Target | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Multi-YearEnglish Leamer Language Proficiency |  |  |  |  |
|  | Multi-YearStudent Suc cess Status Consecutive Years Missing Performance Target |  |  |  |  |
|  | STAAR Grade 3-8 Reading and MathematicsP Mathematics | oo |  |  |  |
|  | Reading | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | College, Career, and Military Readiness | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Questions and Feedback

## Feedback

- Survey link to come by email
- feedbackAF@tea.texas.gov



## Resources

- http://tea.texas.gov/A-F
- http://tea.texas.gov/accountability
- performance.reporting@tea.texas.gov
- (512) 463-9704

