

The State Board for Educator Certification (SBEC) proposes amendments to 19 Texas Administrative Code (TAC) §§229.1, 229.2, 229.3, 229.4, 229.6, and 229.9, concerning accountability system for educator preparation programs. Chapter 229 establishes the performance standards and procedures for educator preparation program (EPP) accountability. The proposed amendments would provide for adjustments to the 2023-2024 *Accountability System for Educator Preparation (ASEP) Manual*; would clarify and streamline language and definitions; would organize the rule text by subchapter; and would include technical updates.

**BACKGROUND INFORMATION AND JUSTIFICATION:** EPPs are entrusted to prepare educators for success in the classroom. Texas Education Code (TEC), §21.0443, requires EPPs to adequately prepare candidates for certification. Similarly, TEC, §21.031, requires the SBEC to ensure candidates for certification demonstrate the knowledge and skills necessary to improve the performance of the diverse student population of this state. TEC, §21.045, also requires SBEC to establish standards to govern the continuing accountability of all EPPs. The SBEC rules in 19 TAC Chapter 229 establish the process used for issuing annual accreditation ratings for all EPPs to comply with these provisions of the TEC and to ensure the highest level of educator preparation, which is codified in the SBEC Mission Statement.

Following is a description of the topics for the SBEC's consideration for proposed amendments to 19 TAC Chapter 229.

#### *Subchapter A. Accountability System for Educator Preparation Program Procedures*

Proposed new Subchapter A and title would further organize the rule text and enable greater flexibility in rulemaking for the SBEC in the future.

##### *§229.1. General Provisions and Purpose of Accountability System for Educator Preparation Programs.*

Update of ASEP Manual:

The proposed amendment to Figure: 19 TAC §229.1(c) would update the ASEP manual as follows:

Updates to the table of contents would provide consistent descriptive language for the Principal Survey and Teacher Survey throughout the manual.

Updates to Chapter 1 would remove the date to future updates and to provide consistent descriptive language for the Principal Survey and Teacher Survey.

Updates to Chapter 3 would simplify the description of included individuals to clearly align with 19 TAC §229.4(a)(1)(A). The update would also remove the exception language related to the Performance Assessment for School Leaders, as starting in the 2023-2024 academic year. It is included in Indicator 1A, as prescribed by 19 TAC §229.2(27). Updates to the example also remove this exception. Finally, updates are made to the example to minimize the inclusion of test 291 and to remove 2 of the 3 examples, since it has expired and the procedure for combining the results is now rare. This provides clarity to the field about the calculations.

Updates to Chapter 4 would provide consistency to how the manual refers to the Appraisal of First-Year Teachers by Administrators, including the parenthetical language "Principal Survey," which is in general usage in the field. This will provide clarity to stakeholders. Further updates will provide clearer language related to the inclusion criteria for teachers in the survey population, including the requirements of employment at the time of the PEIMS snapshot date and holding of their first certificate. This will provide transparency to the field. The worked example would also be updated to reflect these changes.

Updates to Chapter 5 would replace the term "STAAR progress measure" with "STAAR Annual Growth Points" to follow the language in use in 19 TAC Figure: §97.1001(b). This will provide a clear match between the ASEP manual and the data source. The updates would clarify the included individuals, adding a requirement of being enrolled or finishing an EPP within five years prior to their first year employed as a certified teacher of record. This follows inclusion criteria for the principal survey and teacher survey and ensures a clear boundary for the included population. The updates would also clarify the included subject areas and certificate requirements. This would

provide transparency as to how these calculations are conducted. The section about included assessments would be updated to match 19 TAC Figure: §97.1001(b), which would provide an accurate description of the data. The section about the scoring approach would be updated to better describe the process used to do the calculation, based on the data that are available. The worked example would be updated based on these changes.

Updates to Chapter 6 would specify that beginning in the 2024-2025 academic year, certificate deactivations must meet the requirements in the newly adopted Chapter 228, Requirements for Educator Preparation Programs. This will provide transparency to the field about this requirement. Updates would also note the timeline for the evaluation of the new observations in adopted new 19 TAC Chapter 228, Subchapter F, Support for Candidates During Required Clinical Experiences, with the new requirements first being used in the 2025-2026 academic year. This includes a requirement that beginning in the 2025-2026 academic year, only candidates that began their clinical experiences after the effective date of the rule would be included in the evaluation. This provides EPPs the opportunity to update their practices while ensuring that the evaluation for this indicator is based on the rules that were in place for the duration of the clinical experience. Additional updates would clarify that observations must occur within the date range of the clinical experience, providing clarity to the field. Updates would also remove the exclusion of demographic data for indicator 4b. This exclusion is no longer needed because the data is now collected and can be used. This update would increase the total amount of data used in the determination of ASEP statuses and align indicator 4b with the other indicators. An update to the worked example would correct the language used, for clarity.

Updates to Chapter 7 would provide consistency to how the manual refers to the Evaluation of Educator Preparation Programs by Teachers, including the parenthetical languages "Teacher Survey," which is in general usage in the field. This will provide clarity to stakeholders. Further updates would provide clearer language related to the inclusion criteria for teachers in the survey population, including the requirements of employment at the time of the PEIMS snapshot date and holding their first certificate. Updates would also remove outdated language. This will provide transparency to the field. The worked example would also be updated to reflect these changes.

Updates to Chapter 8 would remove the EPP commendations for the 2023-2024 academic year. This will provide a pause while Texas Education Agency (TEA) staff work with the Board and stakeholders to update the commendation system aligned with new requirements in Chapter 228.

Updates to Chapter 9 would update the examples to include the language about the surveys updated earlier in the rule. This would provide consistency in usage. Updates would also provide an additional year for programs to make improvements on specific indicators by increasing the number of years in a row necessary for a negative value to be introduced into the Index system from two consecutive years to three consecutive years. Currently, if a program fails the same indicator for the same demographic group or at the aggregated "all" level for two years in a row, the weight assigned to the point value is -1, which has a greater impact on the overall score than missing in the first year, where the weight assigned is a 0. The update would change the timeline so that if a program were to miss in the second year, the value would also be 0, and if the program were to miss for the third year consecutively, then the negative weight would be introduced. This is aligned with discussion from the Board and recommended by stakeholders. The worked example would be updated to reflect this change.

#### Update to Commendations

The update to §229.1(d) would simplify the language related to commendations and note that commendations will not be designated for the 2023-2024 reporting year. This will provide a pause while TEA staff work with the Board and stakeholders to update the commendation system aligned with new requirements in Chapter 228.

#### *§229.2. Definitions.*

The proposed amendment to §229.2(5) "Beginning teacher" would clarify the certification status for a beginning teacher. This would align the definition with the requirements used for the sample population for ASEP indicator 3, which is where the definition is used.

The proposed amendment to §229.2(6) "Candidate" would clarify the enrollment status for a candidate and provide a technical edit to remove a reference that is no longer used. This would align the definition with how it is used elsewhere in the chapter.

The proposed amendment to §229.2(9) "Clinical teaching" would include a technical cross-reference edit to reflect the newly adopted Chapter 228 to change references from §228.35 to §228.2.

The proposed amendment to §229.2(13) "Cooperating teacher" would align the wording to reflect the wording in the newly adopted Chapter 228.

The proposed amendment to §229.2(24) "Internship" would include a technical cross-reference edit to reflect the newly adopted Chapter 228 to change references from §228.35 to §228.2.

The proposed amendment to §229.2(25) "Mentor" would align the wording to reflect the wording in the newly adopted Chapter 228.

The proposed amendment to §229.2(26) would strike the definition of "New Teacher" because it is not used in the rules. Subsequent definitions would be renumbered.

The proposed amendment to §229.2(28), renumbered to §229.2(27)), "Practicum" would include a technical cross-reference edit to reflect the newly adopted Chapter 228 to change references from §228.35 to §228.2.

The proposed amendment to §229.2(30), (renumbered to §229.2(29)), "Site Supervisor" would align the wording to reflect the wording in the newly adopted Chapter 228.

#### *§229.3. Required Submissions of Information, Surveys, and Other Data.*

The proposed amendment to §229.3(a) would remove "new teachers" because there is no longer a separate requirement for "new teachers" and "first-year teachers" related to data collection. The proposed amendment to §229.3(e) and (f) would provide consistent language, removing the only use of "participant" in the chapter, and shift the language from "new" teacher to "first-year" teacher since the survey requirement is now applicable to first-year teachers. This streamlines the language used in the rule and aligns the language in this section with the teacher survey population.

#### *Subchapter B. Accountability System for Educator Preparation Accreditation Statuses*

Proposed new Subchapter B and title would further organize the rule text and enable greater flexibility in rulemaking for the SBEC in the future.

#### *§229.4. Determination of Accreditation Status.*

The proposed amendment to §229.4(a)(1)(B) would strike the exception for the Performance Assessment for School Leaders because it is now expired. The subsequent provisions would be relettered.

The proposed amendment to §229.4(a)(3) would replace the term "STAAR Annual Progress Measure" with "STAAR Annual Growth Points" to follow the language in use in 19 TAC Figure: §97.1001(b). The amendment would also provide the 2023-2024 academic year as a report only year, because the processes used by TEA to generate the underlying data has shifted, and a report-only year will allow the Board and stakeholders to review results from this new model prior to the data being used for accountability.

The proposed amendment to §229.4(a)(4) and §229.4(a)(4)(A) would remove the general reference to Chapter 228 and replace it with the specific reference in §229.4(a)(4)(A)(1) and §229.4(a)(4)(A)(2). This would provide a clear timeline for when the evaluation of observations will use the current standard and when the evaluation of the observations will use the updated standard in newly adopted 19 TAC Chapter 228, Subchapter F, with the new requirements first being used in the 2025-2026 academic year. This provides EPPs the opportunity to update their

practices while ensuring that the evaluation for this indicator is based on the rules that were in place for the duration of the clinical experience.

The proposed amendment to §229.4(a)(5) would update the language from "new" teacher to "first-year" teacher since the teacher survey population has been updated to match that definition. This will provide clarity and streamline the language used in the rule.

#### *Subchapter C. Accreditation Sanctions*

Proposed new Subchapter C and title would further organize the rule text and enable greater flexibility in rulemaking for the SBEC in the future. Section 229.5, currently in effect, would be organized under new Subchapter C, but no rule changes are proposed.

#### *Subchapter D. Continuing Approval Procedures*

Proposed new Subchapter D and title would further organize the rule text and enable greater flexibility in rulemaking for the SBEC in the future.

#### *§229.6. Continuing Approval.*

The proposed amendment to §229.6(a) and (b) would include a technical cross-reference edit to reflect the newly adopted Chapter 228.

#### *Subchapter E. Review Procedures*

Proposed new Subchapter E and title would further organize the rule text and enable greater flexibility in rulemaking for the SBEC in the future. Sections 229.7 and 229.8, currently in effect, would be organized under new Subchapter E, but no rule changes are proposed.

#### *Subchapter F. Required Fees*

Proposed new Subchapter F and title would further organize the rule text and enable greater flexibility in rulemaking for the SBEC in the future.

#### *§229.9. Fees for Educator Preparation Program Approval and Accountability.*

The proposed amendment to §229.9(2) and (3) would include a technical cross-reference edit to reflect the newly adopted Chapter 228.

**FISCAL IMPACT:** Jessica McLoughlin, associate commissioner for educator preparation, certification, and enforcement, has determined that for the first five years the proposal is in effect, there is no additional fiscal impact on state and local governments and that there are no additional costs to entities required to comply with the proposal.

**LOCAL EMPLOYMENT IMPACT:** The proposal has no effect on local economy; therefore, no local employment impact statement is required under Texas Government Code (TGC), §2001.022.

**SMALL BUSINESS, MICROBUSINESS, AND RURAL COMMUNITY IMPACT:** The proposal has no direct adverse economic impact for small businesses, microbusinesses, or rural communities; therefore, no regulatory flexibility analysis, specified in TGC, §2006.002, is required.

**COST INCREASE TO REGULATED PERSONS:** The proposal does not impose a cost on regulated persons, another state agency, a special district, or a local government and, therefore, is not subject to TGC, §2001.0045.

**TAKINGS IMPACT ASSESSMENT:** The proposal does not impose a burden on private real property and, therefore, does not constitute a taking under TGC, §2007.043.

**GOVERNMENT GROWTH IMPACT:** TEA staff prepared a Government Growth Impact Statement assessment for this proposed rulemaking. During the first five years the proposed rulemaking would be in effect, it would not create or eliminate a government program; would not require the creation of new employee positions or elimination of existing employee positions; would not require an increase or decrease in future legislative appropriations to the agency; would not require an increase or decrease in fees paid to the agency; would not create a new regulation; would not limit, expand, or repeal an existing regulation; would not increase or decrease the number of individuals subject to its applicability; and would not positively or adversely affect the state's economy.

**PUBLIC BENEFIT AND COST TO PERSONS:** Ms. McLoughlin has determined that for the first five years the proposal is in effect, the public benefit anticipated as a result of enforcing the proposal would be an accountability system that informs the public of the quality of educator preparation provided by each SBEC-approved EPP. There is no anticipated cost to persons who are required to comply with the proposal.

**DATA AND REPORTING IMPACT:** The proposal would have no additional data and reporting impact and would strike the data requirement in §229.3(f)(3) as it was never utilized to measure Indicator 3 in ASEP.

**ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT:** The proposal does not require an environmental impact analysis because the proposal does not include major environmental rules under TGC, §2001.0225.

**PRINCIPAL AND CLASSROOM TEACHER PAPERWORK REQUIREMENTS:** TEA staff has determined that the proposal would not require a written report or other paperwork to be completed by a principal or classroom teacher.

**PUBLIC COMMENTS:** The public comment period on the proposal begins August 9, 2024, and ends September 9, 2024. A form for submitting public comments is available on the TEA website at [https://tea.texas.gov/About\\_TEA/Laws\\_and\\_Rules/SBEC\\_Rules\\_\(TAC\)/Proposed\\_State\\_Board\\_for\\_Educator\\_Certification\\_Rules/](https://tea.texas.gov/About_TEA/Laws_and_Rules/SBEC_Rules_(TAC)/Proposed_State_Board_for_Educator_Certification_Rules/). The SBEC will take registered oral and written comments on the proposal during the September 20, 2024 meeting's public comment period in accordance with the SBEC board operating policies and procedures.

**STATUTORY AUTHORITY.** The amendments are proposed under Texas Education Code (TEC), §21.041(a), which allows the State Board for Educator Certification (SBEC) to adopt rules as necessary for its own procedures; TEC, §21.041(b)(1), which requires the SBEC to propose rules that provide for the regulation of educators and the general administration of the TEC, Chapter 21, Subchapter B, in a manner consistent with the TEC, Chapter 21, Subchapter B; TEC, §21.041(d), which states that the SBEC may adopt a fee for the approval and renewal of approval of an EPP, for the addition of a certificate or field of certification, and to provide for the administrative cost of appropriately ensuring the accountability of EPPs; TEC, §21.043(b) and (c), which require SBEC to provide EPPs with data, as determined in coordination with stakeholders, based on information reported through the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) that enables an EPP to assess the impact of the program and revise the program as needed to improve; TEC, §21.0441(c) and (d), which require the SBEC to adopt rules setting certain admission requirements for EPPs; TEC, §21.0443, which states that the SBEC shall propose rules to establish standards to govern the approval or renewal of approval of EPPs and certification fields authorized to be offered by an EPP. To be eligible for approval or renewal of approval, an EPP must adequately prepare candidates for educator certification and meet the standards and requirements of the SBEC. The SBEC shall require that each EPP be reviewed for renewal of approval at least every five years. The SBEC shall adopt an evaluation process to be used in reviewing an EPP for renewal of approval; TEC, §21.045, which states that the board shall propose rules establishing standards to govern the approval and continuing accountability of all EPPs; TEC, §21.0451, which states that the SBEC shall propose rules for the sanction of EPPs that do not meet accountability standards and shall annually review the accreditation status of each EPP. The costs of technical assistance required under TEC, §21.0451(a)(2)(A), or the costs associated with the appointment of a monitor under TEC, §21.0451(a)(2)(C), shall be paid by the sponsor of the EPP; and TEC, §21.0452, which states that to assist persons interested in obtaining teaching certification in selecting an EPP and assist school districts in making staffing decisions, the SBEC shall make certain specified information regarding EPPs in this state available to the public through the SBEC's Internet website.

**CROSS REFERENCE TO STATUTE.** The amendments implement Texas Education Code, §§21.041(a), (b)(1), and (d); 21.043(b) and (c); 21.0441(c) and (d); 21.0443; 21.045; 21.0451; and 21.0452.

<rule>

§229.1. General Provisions and Purpose of Accountability System for Educator Preparation Programs.

(a) The State Board for Educator Certification (SBEC) is responsible for establishing standards to govern the continuing accountability of all educator preparation programs (EPPs). The rules adopted by the SBEC in this chapter govern the accreditation of each EPP that prepares individuals for educator certification. No candidate shall be recommended for any Texas educator certification class or category except by an EPP that has been approved by the SBEC pursuant to Chapter 228 of this title (relating to Requirements for Educator Preparation Programs) and is accredited as required by this chapter.

(b) The purpose of the accountability system for educator preparation is to assure that each EPP is held accountable for the readiness for certification of candidates completing the programs.

(c) The relevant criteria, formulas, calculations, and performance standards relevant to subsection (d) of this section and §229.4 of this title (relating to Determination of Accreditation Status) are prescribed in the *Texas Accountability System for Educator Preparation (ASEP) Manual* provided as a figure in this subsection.

~~Figure: 19 TAC §229.1(c) [Figure: 19 TAC §229.1(e)]~~

(d) An accredited EPP that is not under an active SBEC order or otherwise sanctioned by the SBEC may receive commendations for success as ~~in the following four dimensions identified by the SBEC and~~ prescribed in the figure in subsection (c) of this section. Commendations will not be awarded for the 2023-2024 reporting year. [;]

~~(1) Rigorous and Robust Preparation;~~

~~(2) Preparing the Educators Texas Needs;~~

~~(3) Preparing Educators for Long Term Success; and~~

~~(4) Innovative Educator Preparation.]~~

§229.2. Definitions.

The following words and terms, when used in this chapter, shall have the following meanings, unless the context clearly indicates otherwise.

(1) Academic year--If not referring to the academic year of a particular public, private, or charter school or institution of higher education, September 1 through August 31.

(2) Accredited institution of higher education--An institution of higher education that, at the time it conferred the degree, was accredited or otherwise approved by an accrediting organization recognized by the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board.

(3) ACT®--The college entrance examination from ACT®.

(4) Administrator--For purposes of the surveys and information required by this chapter, an educator whose certification would entitle him or her to be assigned as a principal or assistant principal in Texas, whether or not he or she is currently working in such an assignment.

(5) Beginning teacher--For purposes of the Texas Education Code, §21.045(a)(3), and its implementation in this chapter, a classroom teacher with fewer than three years of experience as a certified classroom teacher.

(6) Candidate--An individual who has been formally or contingently admitted into an educator preparation program (EPP) who has not yet completed or exited the EPP. [; ~~also referred to as a participant.~~]

(7) Certification category--A certificate type within a certification class, as described in Chapter 233 of this title (relating to Categories of Classroom Teaching Certificates).

(8) Certification class--A certificate, as described in §230.33 of this title (relating to Classes of Certificates), that has defined characteristics; may contain one or more certification categories, as described in Chapter 233 of this title.

(9) Clinical teaching--An assignment, as described in §228.2 [~~§228.35~~] of this title (relating to Definitions [~~Preparation Program Coursework and/or Training~~]).

(10) Completer--A person who has met all the requirements of an approved educator preparation program. In applying this definition, the fact that a person has or has not been recommended for a standard certificate or passed a certification examination shall not be used as criteria for determining who is a completer.

(11) Consecutively measured years--Consecutive years for which a group's performance is measured, excluding years in which the small group exception applies, in accordance with §229.4(c) of this title (relating to Determination of Accreditation Status).

(12) Content Pedagogy Test--Examination listed in the column labeled "Required Content Pedagogy Test(s)" in Figure 19 TAC §230.21(e).

(13) Cooperating teacher--An individual, as described in §228.2 of this title (relating to Definitions), who [~~guides, assists, and~~] supports a candidate during a candidate's clinical teaching experience [~~assignment~~].

- (14) Demographic group--Male and female, as to gender; and African American, Hispanic, White, and Other, as to race and ethnicity.
- (15) Educator preparation program--An entity approved by the State Board for Educator Certification to recommend candidates in one or more educator certification classes or categories.
- (16) Educator preparation program data--Data reported to meet requirements under the Texas Education Code, §21.045(b) and §21.0452.
- (17) Examination--An examination or other test required by statute, or any other State Board for Educator Certification rule codified in the Texas Administrative Code, Title 19, Part 7, that governs an individual's admission to an educator preparation program, certification as an educator, continuation as an educator, or advancement as an educator.
- (18) Field supervisor--An individual, as described in §228.2 of this title (relating to Definitions), who is hired by an educator preparation program to observe candidates, monitor their performance, and provide constructive feedback to improve their effectiveness as educators.
- (19) First-year teacher--For purposes of the Texas Education Code, §21.045(a)(2), and its implementation in this chapter, an individual in his or her first year of employment as a classroom teacher.
- (20) GPA--Grade point average.
- (21) GRE®--Graduate Record Examinations®.
- (22) Higher Education Act--Federal legislation consisting of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 United States Code, §1070 et seq.) and its subsequent amendments, which requires reports of educator preparation program performance data.
- (23) Incoming class--Individuals contingently or formally admitted between September 1 and August 31 of each year by an educator preparation program.
- (24) Internship--An assignment, as described in §228.2 [~~§228.35~~] of this title (relating to Definitions [~~Preparation Program Coursework and/or Training~~]).
- (25) Mentor--An individual, as described in §228.2 of this title (relating to Definitions), who [~~guides, assists, and~~] supports a candidate during a candidate's internship experience [~~assignment~~].
- ~~[(26) New teacher--For purposes of the Texas Education Code, §21.045(a)(5), and its implementation in this chapter, an individual in his or her first year of employment as a classroom teacher under a standard certificate.]~~
- ~~(26)~~ [(27)] Pedagogy Test--Examination listed in the column labeled "Pedagogical Requirement(s)" in Figure: 19 TAC §230.21(e).
- ~~(27)~~ [(28)] Practicum--An assignment, as described in §228.2 [~~§228.35~~] of this title (relating to Definitions [~~Preparation Program Coursework and/or Training~~]).
- ~~(28)~~ [(29)] SAT®--The college entrance examination from the College Board.
- ~~(29)~~ [(30)] Site supervisor--An individual, as described in §228.2 of this title (relating to Definitions), who [~~guides, assists, and~~] supports a candidate during a candidate's practicum experience [~~assignment~~].
- ~~(30)~~ [(31)] Texas Education Agency staff--Staff of the Texas Education Agency assigned by the commissioner of education to perform the State Board for Educator Certification's administrative functions and services.

### §229.3. Required Submissions of Information, Surveys, and Other Data.

- (a) Educator preparation programs (EPPs), EPP candidates, first-year teachers, [~~new teachers,~~] beginning teachers, field supervisors, administrators, mentors, site supervisors, and cooperating teachers shall provide to the Texas Education Agency (TEA) staff all data and information required by this chapter, as set forth in subsections (e) and (f) of this section.
- (b) Any individual holding a Texas-issued educator certificate who fails to provide information required by this chapter and the Texas Education Code (TEC), §21.045 and §21.0452, as set forth in subsection (e) of this section, may be subject to sanction of his or her certificate, including the placement of restrictions, inscribed or non-inscribed reprimand, suspension, or revocation.
- (c) Any Texas public school that fails to provide information required by this chapter and the TEC, §21.045 and §21.0452, as set forth in subsection (e) of this section, may be referred to the commissioner of education with a recommendation that sanctions upon its accreditation status be imposed for failure to comply with this section and the TEC, §21.0452.
- (d) Any open-enrollment charter school that fails to provide information required by this chapter and the TEC, §21.045 and §21.0452, as set forth in subsection (e) of this section, may be referred to the commissioner of education with a recommendation that sanctions be imposed for failure to comply with this section and the TEC, §21.0452.

(e) All required EPP data for an academic year shall be submitted to the TEA staff annually by September 15 following the end of that academic year. All surveys and information required to be submitted pursuant to this chapter by principals shall be submitted by June 15 of any academic year in which an administrator has had experience with a first-year teacher who was a candidate or completer at ~~[participant in]~~ an EPP. All surveys and information required to be submitted pursuant to this chapter by first-year ~~[new]~~ teachers shall be submitted by June 15 of the first full academic year after the teacher completed the requirements of an EPP. All surveys and information required to be submitted pursuant to this chapter by EPP candidates shall be submitted by August 31 of the academic year in which the candidate completed the requirements of an EPP.

(f) The following apply to data submissions required by this chapter.

(1) EPPs shall provide data for all candidates as specified in the figure provided in this paragraph.

Figure: 19 TAC §229.3(f)(1) (No change.)

(2) Candidates in an EPP shall complete a survey, in a form approved by the State Board for Educator Certification (SBEC), evaluating the preparation he or she received in the EPP. Completion and submission to the TEA of the survey is a requirement for completion of an EPP.

(3) Administrators in Texas public schools and open-enrollment charter schools shall complete surveys, in a form to be approved by the SBEC, evaluating the effectiveness of preparation for classroom success based on experience with first-year teachers who were candidates or completers ~~[participants]~~ in an EPP.

(4) First-year ~~[New]~~ teachers in a Texas public school, including an open-enrollment charter school, shall complete surveys, in a form to be approved by the SBEC, evaluating the effectiveness of preparation for classroom success.

\*n



STATUTORY AUTHORITY. The amendment is proposed under Texas Education Code (TEC), §21.041(a), which allows the State Board for Educator Certification (SBEC) to adopt rules as necessary for its own procedures; TEC, §21.041(b)(1), which requires the SBEC to propose rules that provide for the regulation of educators and the general administration of the TEC, Chapter 21, Subchapter B, in a manner consistent with the TEC, Chapter 21, Subchapter B; TEC, §21.041(d), which states that the SBEC may adopt a fee for the approval and renewal of approval of an EPP, for the addition of a certificate or field of certification, and to provide for the administrative cost of appropriately ensuring the accountability of EPPs; TEC, §21.043(b) and (c), which require SBEC to provide EPPs with data, as determined in coordination with stakeholders, based on information reported through the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) that enables an EPP to assess the impact of the program and revise the program as needed to improve; TEC, §21.0441(c) and (d), which require the SBEC to adopt rules setting certain admission requirements for EPPs; TEC, §21.0443, which states that the SBEC shall propose rules to establish standards to govern the approval or renewal of approval of EPPs and certification fields authorized to be offered by an EPP. To be eligible for approval or renewal of approval, an EPP must adequately prepare candidates for educator certification and meet the standards and requirements of the SBEC. The SBEC shall require that each EPP be reviewed for renewal of approval at least every five years. The SBEC shall adopt an evaluation process to be used in reviewing an EPP for renewal of approval; TEC, §21.045, which states that the board shall propose rules establishing standards to govern the approval and continuing accountability of all EPPs; TEC, §21.0451, which states that the SBEC shall propose rules for the sanction of EPPs that do not meet accountability standards and shall annually review the accreditation status of each EPP. The costs of technical assistance required under TEC, §21.0451(a)(2)(A), or the costs associated with the appointment of a monitor under TEC, §21.0451(a)(2)(C), shall be paid by the sponsor of the EPP; and TEC, §21.0452, which states that to assist persons interested in obtaining teaching certification in selecting an EPP and assist school districts in making staffing decisions, the SBEC shall make certain specified information regarding EPPs in this state available to the public through the SBEC's Internet website.

CROSS REFERENCE TO STATUTE. The amendment implements Texas Education Code, §§21.041(a), (b)(1), and (d); 21.043(b) and (c); 21.0441(c) and (d); 21.0443; 21.045; 21.0451; and 21.0452.

<rule>

#### §229.4. Determination of Accreditation Status.

(a) Accountability performance indicators. The State Board for Educator Certification (SBEC) shall determine the accreditation status of an educator preparation program (EPP) at least annually, based on the following accountability performance indicators, disaggregated by demographic group and other requirements of this chapter and determined with the formulas and calculations included in the figure provided in §229.1(c) of this title (relating to General Provisions and Purpose of Accountability System for Educator Preparation Programs). Data will be used only if the following indicators were included in the accountability system for that academic year. Except for the 2019-2020 and 2020-2021 academic years, when the data described in paragraphs (1)-(5) of this subsection will be reported to EPPs and will not be used to determine accreditation statuses, EPP accreditation statuses shall be based on:

(1) the EPP candidates' performance on pedagogy tests and content pedagogy tests. The EPP candidates' performance on pedagogy tests and content pedagogy tests shall provide separate accountability performance indicators for EPPs;

(A) For both pedagogy tests and content pedagogy tests, the performance standard shall be the percent of individuals admitted after December 26, 2016, who passed an examination within the first two attempts, including those examinations attempted after the individual has completed the EPP or when the EPP has not recommended the individual for a standard certificate. The pass rate is based solely on the examinations approved by the EPP. Examinations taken before admission to the EPP or specific examinations taken for pilot purposes are not included in the pass rate.

~~[(B) For the 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 academic years, the Performance Assessment for School Leaders (PASL) shall be treated as a content pedagogy test.]~~

~~(B)~~ [(C)] For pedagogy tests, the performance standard shall be a pass rate of 85%.

~~(C)~~ [(D)] For content pedagogy tests, the performance standard shall be a pass rate of 75%.

(2) the results of appraisals of first-year teachers by administrators, based on a survey in a form to be approved by the SBEC. The performance standard shall be 70% of first-year teachers from the EPP who are appraised as "sufficiently prepared" or "well prepared";

(3) the growth of students taught by beginning teachers as indicated by the STAAR Annual Growth Points [~~Progress Measure~~], determined at the student level as described in Figure: 19 TAC §97.1001(b) of Part II of this title (relating to Accountability Rating System), and aggregated at the teacher level as described in Figure: 19 TAC §229.1(c) of this title. The performance standard shall be 70% of beginning teachers from the EPP reaching the individual performance threshold. For the 2023-2024 academic year, [~~The first two academic years for which the Texas Education Agency (TEA) has data necessary to calculate~~] this performance standard [~~following the 2019-2020 academic year~~] will be a reporting year [years] only and will not be used to determine accreditation status;

(4) the results of data collections establishing EPP compliance with SBEC requirements [~~specified in §228.35(g) of this title (relating to Preparation Program Coursework and/or Training);~~] regarding the frequency, duration, and quality of field supervision to candidates completing clinical teaching or an internship. The frequency and duration of field supervision shall provide one accountability performance indicator, and the quality of field supervision shall provide a separate accountability performance indicator;

(A) The performance standard as to the frequency, duration, and required documentation of field supervision shall be that the EPP meets the requirements [~~of documentation of §228.35(g) of this title~~] for 95% of the EPP's candidates. EPPs ~~that~~ who do not meet the standard of 95% for the aggregated group or for any disaggregated demographic group but have only one candidate not meet the requirement in the aggregated or any disaggregated group has met the standard for that group.

(i) For the 2023-2024 and 2024-2025 academic years, individuals will be evaluated against the frequency and duration requirements in Chapter 228, Subchapter F, of this title (relating to Support for Candidates During Required Clinical Experiences) that were effective August 31, 2024.

(ii) Beginning in the 2025-2026 academic year, individuals will be evaluated against the frequency and duration requirements in Chapter 228, Subchapter F, of this title that were effective beginning September 1, 2024.

(B) The performance standard for quality shall be 90% of candidates rating the field supervision as "frequently" or "always or almost always" providing the components of structural guidance and ongoing support; and

(5) the results from a teacher satisfaction survey, in a form approved by the SBEC, of first-year ~~new~~ teachers administered at the end of the first year of teaching as a teacher of record [~~under a standard certificate~~]. The performance standard shall be 70% of teachers responding that they were "sufficiently prepared" or "well prepared" by their EPP.

(b) Accreditation status assignment. All approved EPPs may be assigned an accreditation status based on their performance in the Accountability System for Educator Preparation Programs (ASEP) Index system, as described in Figure: 19 TAC §229.1(c) of this title.

(1) Accredited status. An EPP shall be assigned an Accredited status if the EPP has met the standard of 85% of the possible points in the ASEP Index system as described in Figure: 19 TAC §229.1(c) of this title and has been approved by the SBEC to prepare, train, and recommend candidates for certification.

(2) Accredited-Not Rated status. An EPP shall be assigned Accredited-Not Rated status upon initial approval to offer educator preparation, until the EPP can be assigned a status based on the ASEP Index system as described in Figure: 19 TAC §229.1(c) of this title. An EPP is fully accredited and may recommend candidates for certification while it is in Accredited-Not Rated status.

(3) Accredited-Warned status.

(A) An EPP shall be assigned Accredited-Warned status if the EPP accumulates 80% or greater but less than 85% of the possible points in the ASEP Index system as described in Figure: 19 TAC §229.1(c) of this title.

(B) An EPP may be assigned Accredited-Warned status if the SBEC determines that the EPP has violated SBEC rules, orders, and/or Texas Education Code (TEC), Chapter 21.

(4) Accredited-Probation status.

(A) An EPP shall be assigned Accredited-Probation status if the EPP accumulates less than 80% of the possible points in the ASEP Index system as described in Figure: 19 TAC §229.1(c) of this title.

(B) An EPP may be assigned Accredited-Probation status if the SBEC determines that the EPP has violated SBEC rules, orders, and/or TEC, Chapter 21.

(5) Not Accredited-Revoked status.

(A) An EPP shall be assigned Not Accredited-Revoked status and its approval to recommend candidates for educator certification revoked if it is assigned Accredited-Probation status for three consecutively measured years.

(B) An EPP may be assigned Not Accredited-Revoked status if the EPP has been on Accredited-Probation status for one year, and the SBEC determines that revoking the EPP's approval is reasonably necessary to achieve the purposes of the TEC, §21.045 and §21.0451.

(C) An EPP may be assigned Not Accredited-Revoked status if the EPP fails to pay the required ASEP technology fee by the deadline set by TEA as prescribed in §229.9(7) of this title (relating to Fees for Educator Preparation Program Approval and Accountability).

(D) An EPP may be assigned Not Accredited-Revoked status if the SBEC determines that the EPP has violated SBEC rules, orders, and/or TEC, Chapter 21.

(E) An assignment of Not Accredited-Revoked status and revocation of EPP approval to recommend candidates for educator certification is subject to the requirements of notice, record review, and appeal as described in this chapter.

(F) A revocation of an EPP approval shall be effective for a period of two years, after which a program may reapply for approval as a new EPP pursuant to Chapter 228 of this title (relating to Requirements for Educator Preparation Programs).

(G) Upon revocation of EPP approval, the EPP may not admit new candidates for educator certification but may complete the training of candidates already admitted by the EPP and recommend them for certification. If necessary, TEA staff and other EPPs shall cooperate to assist the previously admitted candidates of the revoked EPP to complete their training.

(c) Small group exception.

(1) For purposes of accreditation status determination, the performance of an EPP candidate group, aggregated or disaggregated by demographic group, shall be measured against performance standards described in this chapter in any one year in which the number of individuals in the group exceeds 10. The small group exception does not apply to compliance with the frequency and duration of field supervisor observations.

(2) For an EPP candidate group, aggregated or disaggregated by demographic group, where the group contains 10 or fewer individuals, the group's performance shall not be counted for purposes of accreditation status determination for that academic year based on only that year's group performance.

(3) If the current year's EPP candidate group, aggregated or disaggregated by demographic group, contained between one and 10 individuals, that group performance shall be combined with the group performance from the next most recent prior year subsequent to the 2020-2021 academic year for which there was at least one individual, and if the two-year cumulated group contains more than 10 individuals, then the two-year cumulated group performance must be measured against the standards in the current year. The two-year cumulated group shall not include group performance from years prior to the 2021-2022 academic year.

(4) If the two-year cumulated EPP candidate group described in subsection (c)(3) of this section, aggregated or disaggregated by demographic group, contains between one and 10 individuals, then the two-year cumulated group performance shall be combined with the next most recent group performance subsequent to the 2020-2021 academic year for which there was at least one individual. The three-year cumulated group performance must be measured against the standards in the current year, regardless of how small the cumulated number of group members may be. When evaluating a three-year cumulated group of fewer than 10 individuals, the candidate group will be measured against the performance standard of the current year, or a performance standard of up to one candidate failing to meet the requirement, whichever is more favorable. The three-year cumulated group performance shall not include group performance from years prior to the 2021-2022 academic year.

(5) In any reporting year in which the EPP candidate group, aggregated or disaggregated by demographic group, does not meet the necessary number of individuals needed to measure against performance standards for that year, for all indicators, the accreditation status will continue from the prior year. Any sanction assigned as a result of an accredited-warned or accredited-probation status in a prior year will continue if that candidate group has not met performance standards since being assigned accredited-warned or accredited-probation status. If an EPP has a status of Accredited-Probation carried over as a result of this subsection, the year in which the EPP has the carried over status will not count as a consecutively measured year for the purpose of subsection (b)(5)(A) of this section. The SBEC may modify the sanction as the SBEC deems necessary based on subsequent performance, even though that performance is not measured against performance standards for a rating.

\*n

STATUTORY AUTHORITY. The amendment is proposed under Texas Education Code (TEC), §21.041(a), which allows the State Board for Educator Certification (SBEC) to adopt rules as necessary for its own procedures; TEC, §21.041(b)(1), which requires the SBEC to propose rules that provide for the regulation of educators and the general administration of the TEC, Chapter 21, Subchapter B, in a manner consistent with the TEC, Chapter 21, Subchapter B; TEC, §21.041(d), which states that the SBEC may adopt a fee for the approval and renewal of approval of an EPP, for the addition of a certificate or field of certification, and to provide for the administrative cost of appropriately ensuring the accountability of EPPs; TEC, §21.043(b) and (c), which require SBEC to provide EPPs with data, as determined in coordination with stakeholders, based on information reported through the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) that enables an EPP to assess the impact of the program and revise the program as needed to improve; TEC, §21.0441(c) and (d), which require the SBEC to adopt rules setting certain admission requirements for EPPs; TEC, §21.0443, which states that the SBEC shall propose rules to establish standards to govern the approval or renewal of approval of EPPs and certification fields authorized to be offered by an EPP. To be eligible for approval or renewal of approval, an EPP must adequately prepare candidates for educator certification and meet the standards and requirements of the SBEC. The SBEC shall require that each EPP be reviewed for renewal of approval at least every five years. The SBEC shall adopt an evaluation process to be used in reviewing an EPP for renewal of approval; TEC, §21.045, which states that the board shall propose rules establishing standards to govern the approval and continuing accountability of all EPPs; TEC, §21.0451, which states that the SBEC shall propose rules for the sanction of EPPs that do not meet accountability standards and shall annually review the accreditation status of each EPP. The costs of technical assistance required under TEC, §21.0451(a)(2)(A), or the costs associated with the appointment of a monitor under TEC, §21.0451(a)(2)(C), shall be paid by the sponsor of the EPP; and TEC, §21.0452, which states that to assist persons interested in obtaining teaching certification in selecting an EPP and assist school districts in making staffing decisions, the SBEC shall make certain specified information regarding EPPs in this state available to the public through the SBEC's Internet website.

CROSS REFERENCE TO STATUTE. The amendment implements Texas Education Code, §§21.041(a), (b)(1), and (d); 21.043(b) and (c); 21.0441(c) and (d); 21.0443; 21.045; 21.0451; and 21.0452.

<rule>

#### §229.6. Continuing Approval.

- (a) The continuing approval of an educator preparation program (EPP) to recommend candidates for educator certification, which shall be reviewed pursuant to ~~§228.13~~ [~~§228.10(b)~~] of this title (relating to Continuing Educator Preparation Program Approval [~~Approval Process~~]), will be based upon the EPP's accreditation status and compliance with the State Board for Educator Certification (SBEC) rules regarding program-approval components specified in ~~§228.11~~ [~~§228.10(a)~~] of this title (relating to New Entity Approval [~~Approval Process~~]).
- (b) After a continuing approval review pursuant to ~~§228.13~~ [~~§228.10(b)~~] of this title, if the Texas Education Agency (TEA) staff finds that an EPP is in compliance with SBEC rules and/or Texas Education Code (TEC), Chapter 21, the TEA staff shall issue a proposed recommendation for SBEC to approve the renewal of an EPP. After a continuing approval review pursuant to ~~§228.13~~ [~~§228.10(b)~~] of this title or a complaint investigation pursuant to Chapter ~~228~~, Subchapter G, of this title (relating to Complaints and Investigations) [~~§228.70 of this title (relating to Complaints and Investigations Procedures)~~], if the TEA staff finds that an EPP has failed to comply with SBEC rules and/or the TEC, Chapter 21, and the EPP does not obtain compliance within four months, the TEA staff shall recommend that the SBEC sanction the EPP. The TEA staff may recommend that the SBEC action include, but is not limited to, public reprimand, revocation of program approval, or the imposition of conditions upon continuing program approval.
- (c) TEA staff shall provide notice of the proposed recommendation for SBEC action relating to the EPP's continuing approval to recommend candidates for educator certification in the manner provided by §229.7 of this title (relating to Informal Review of Texas Education Agency Recommendations), and an EPP shall be entitled to an informal review of the proposed recommendation, under the conditions and procedures set out in §229.7 of this title, prior to the submission of the recommendation for action to either the SBEC or the State Office of Administrative Hearings (SOAH). If the EPP fails to request an informal review in a timely manner, the proposed recommendation will become a final recommendation.
- (d) Following the informal review, a final recommendation will be issued by the TEA staff. The final recommendation may include changes or additions to the proposed recommendation and such modifications are not subject to another informal review procedure.

(e) If the final recommendation proposes revocation of approval of an EPP to recommend candidates for educator certification, within 14 calendar days of receipt of the final recommendation, the EPP may agree in writing to accept the final revocation without further proceedings or may request that TEA staff schedule the matter for a hearing before an administrative law judge at the SOAH, as provided by §229.8 of this title (relating to Contested Cases for Accreditation Revocation).

(f) If the final recommendation does not propose revocation of approval of an EPP to recommend candidates for educator certification, the final recommendation will be submitted to SBEC for consideration and entry of a final order.

\*n

STATUTORY AUTHORITY. The amendment is proposed under Texas Education Code (TEC), §21.041(a), which allows the State Board for Educator Certification (SBEC) to adopt rules as necessary for its own procedures; TEC, §21.041(b)(1), which requires the SBEC to propose rules that provide for the regulation of educators and the general administration of the TEC, Chapter 21, Subchapter B, in a manner consistent with the TEC, Chapter 21, Subchapter B; TEC, §21.041(d), which states that the SBEC may adopt a fee for the approval and renewal of approval of an EPP, for the addition of a certificate or field of certification, and to provide for the administrative cost of appropriately ensuring the accountability of EPPs; TEC, §21.043(b) and (c), which require SBEC to provide EPPs with data, as determined in coordination with stakeholders, based on information reported through the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) that enables an EPP to assess the impact of the program and revise the program as needed to improve; TEC, §21.0441(c) and (d), which require the SBEC to adopt rules setting certain admission requirements for EPPs; TEC, §21.0443, which states that the SBEC shall propose rules to establish standards to govern the approval or renewal of approval of EPPs and certification fields authorized to be offered by an EPP. To be eligible for approval or renewal of approval, an EPP must adequately prepare candidates for educator certification and meet the standards and requirements of the SBEC. The SBEC shall require that each EPP be reviewed for renewal of approval at least every five years. The SBEC shall adopt an evaluation process to be used in reviewing an EPP for renewal of approval; TEC, §21.045, which states that the board shall propose rules establishing standards to govern the approval and continuing accountability of all EPPs; TEC, §21.0451, which states that the SBEC shall propose rules for the sanction of EPPs that do not meet accountability standards and shall annually review the accreditation status of each EPP. The costs of technical assistance required under TEC, §21.0451(a)(2)(A), or the costs associated with the appointment of a monitor under TEC, §21.0451(a)(2)(C), shall be paid by the sponsor of the EPP; and TEC, §21.0452, which states that to assist persons interested in obtaining teaching certification in selecting an EPP and assist school districts in making staffing decisions, the SBEC shall make certain specified information regarding EPPs in this state available to the public through the SBEC's Internet website.

CROSS REFERENCE TO STATUTE. The amendment implements Texas Education Code, §§21.041(a), (b)(1), and (d); 21.043(b) and (c); 21.0441(c) and (d); 21.0443; 21.045; 21.0451; and 21.0452.

<rule>

#### §229.9. Fees for Educator Preparation Program Approval and Accountability.

An educator preparation program requesting approval and continuation of accreditation status shall pay the applicable fee from the following list.

- (1) New educator preparation program application and approval (nonrefundable)--\$9,000.
- (2) Five-year continuing approval review visit pursuant to §228.13 [~~§228.10(b)~~] of this title (relating to Continuing Educator Preparation Program Approval [~~Approval Process~~])--\$4,500.
- (3) Discretionary continuing approval review visit pursuant to §228.13 [~~§228.10(b)~~] of this title--\$4,500.
- (4) Addition of new certification category or addition of clinical teaching--\$500.
- (5) Addition of each new class of certificate--\$1,000.
- (6) Applications for out-of-state and out-of-country school sites for field-based experiences, clinical teaching, and practicums--\$500.
- (7) Accountability System for Educator Preparation Programs technology fee--\$35 per admitted candidate.