

# Accountability System for Educator Preparation (ASEP) Principal Appraisal of First-Year Teachers

#### **TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY**

This report presents results from the principal survey of first-year teachers. In this survey, principals describe the preparation of teachers in six categories of practices. Principals complete surveys for first-year teachers who graduated at any time during the 5 years prior to the reporting period and who taught in the Texas public school system for a minimum of 5 months during the reporting period.

Percentages note the percent of teachers prepared by an EPP who received an average score of Sufficiently Prepared or Well Prepared when all applicable questions were averaged, and within each of the six categories. The overall percentage serves as indicator 2 of the Accountability System for Educator Preparation (ASEP) Accountability Performance Indicators. The percentages within each category are Consumer Information, required by the Texas Education Code. Due to teachers having strengths in different areas and the way the overall indicator is computed, the percentages in the six categories cannot be expected to average to the overall proficiency standard. For details about the survey, visit: <a href="https://tinyurl.com/ydy335z8">https://tinyurl.com/ydy335z8</a>

#### **Principal Appraisal Outcomes**

**EPP Score: 87** EPP Type: Region ESC: Huntsville

State Average: 73 Alternative/Traditional # Graduates Rated (N): 349

## 2017-18 Percentage of First Year Teachers Designated as Sufficiently or Well Prepared

	TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY	All EPPs with Traditional and Alternative Programs	All TX EPPS		
Classroom Environment	91%	80%	81%		
Instruction	91%	80%	80%		
Students with Disabilities	87%	82%	80%		
English Language Learners	92%	89%	85%		
Technology Integration	97%	87%	90%		
Use Technology with Data	95%	86%	89%		

## 2017-18 Number of Teachers Rated by Area

Area	Number	Area	Number	Area	Number
Core Subjects	119	Mathematics	13	Physical Education	7
English Language Arts and Reading	69	Social Studies	9	Bilingual Education Supplement	5
Mathematics/Science	41	Agricultural Science and Technology	8	Science	5
English as a Second Language Supplemental	30	Generalist	8	History	4
Agriculture, Food & Natural Resources	14	Life Science	7	Other	10

# **2017-18** Percentage of Teachers Rated Sufficiently or Well Prepared

# TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY (N=349)

Classroom Environment	EPP	State
To effectively implement discipline management procedures	92%	83%
To communicate clear expectations for achievement and behavior that promote and encourage self-discipline and self- directed learning	93%	85%
To provide support to achieve a positive, equitable, and engaging learning environment	96%	89%
To build and maintain positive rapport with students	97%	92%
To build and maintain positive rapport and two-way communication with students' families	97%	90%
nstruction	EPP	State
To implement varied instruction that integrates critical thinking, inquiry, and problem solving	94%	87%
To respond to the needs of students by being flexible in instructional approach and differentiating instruction	96%	87%
To use the results of formative assessment data to guide instruction	93%	87%
To engage and motivate students through learner-centered instruction	95%	88%
To integrate effective modeling, questioning, and self-reflection (self-assessment) strategies into instruction	95%	88%
To assume various roles in the instructional process (e.g. instructor, facilitator, audience)	95%	89%
To set clear learning goals and align instruction with standards-based content	95%	90%
To provide quality and timely feedback to students	95%	90%
Students with Disabilities	EPP	State
To differentiate instruction to meet the academic needs of students with disabilities	93%	86%
o differentiate instruction to meet the behavioral needs of students with disabilities	90%	84%
To provide appropriate ways for students with disabilities to demonstrate their learning	93%	88%
o understand and adhere to the federal and state laws that govern special education services	96%	92%
To make appropriate decisions (e.g., when and how to make accommodations and/or modifications to instruction, assessment, materials, delivery, and classroom procedures) to meet the learning needs of students who have an IEP	94%	88%
To develop and/or implement formal and informal assessment that track students' progress toward IEP goals and objectives	91%	86%
To collaborate with others, such as para-educators and other teachers, in meeting the academic, developmental, and pehavioral needs of students with disabilities	94%	92%
English Language Learners	EPP	State
To provide appropriate ways for LEP-ELL students to demonstrate their learning	95%	89%
To understand and adhere to federal and state laws that govern education services for LEP-ELL students	99%	92%
To comply with district and campus policies and procedures regarding LEP-ELL students	99%	93%
To support LEP-ELL students in mastering the Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS), including the English Language Proficiency Standards (ELPS)	95%	89%
To model and teach the forms and functions of academic English in content areas	95%	89%
Fechnology Integration	EPP	State
To use technology available on the campus to integrate curriculum TEKS and Technology Application TEKS to support student learning	98%	94%
To provide technology based classroom learning opportunities that allow students to interact with real-time and/or On line content	97%	92%
To teach students developmentally appropriate technology skills	98%	92%
To use technology to make learning more active and engaging for students	98%	93%
Jsing Technology with Data	EPP	State
To use available technology to collect, manage and analyze student data using software programs (such as Excel or an electronic gradebook)	99%	93%
To use available technology to collect, manage, and analyze data from multiple sources in order to interpret learning results for students	96%	91%
To use available technology to document student learning to determine when an intervention is necessary and appropriate	96%	90%
To use available technology to collect and manage formative assessment data to guide instruction	96%	91%

## Percentage of First Year Teachers Appraised as Sufficiently or Well Prepared from 2016 - 2018

#### **TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY**

	N	2015-16	N	2016-17	N	2017-18
Indicator 2: Principal Appraisal of First-Year Teachers	327	86%	346	84%	349	87%
Classroom Environment	327	89%	346	86%	349	91%
Instruction	327	90%	346	88%	349	91%
Students with Disabilities	237	90%	281	88%	287	87%
English Language Learners	254	92%	260	92%	270	92%
Technology Integration	327	96%	346	95%	349	97%
Use Technology with Data	327	95%	346	93%	349	95%

Alternative - Institutions that offer teacher training that prepares individuals who already hold a baccalaureate degree. These providers include education service centers, school districts, private entities, community colleges, and universities.

Traditional - Institutions that offer teacher training that is part of an undergraduate degree program offered by colleges and universities.

Traditional/Alternative - Institutions that offer both Alternative and Traditional Teacher training.

Source: State Board for Educator Certification (https://secure.sbec.state.tx.us/SBECOnline/approvedprograms.asp?s=3)