2018 Discipline Data Validation Manual

Texas Education Agency

Office of Academics Performance Reporting

Copyright © Notice The materials are copyrighted © and trademarked TM as the property of the Texas Education Agency (TEA) and may not be reproduced without the express written permission of TEA, except under the following conditions:

- Texas public school districts, charter schools, and Education Service Centers may reproduce and use copies of the Materials and Related Materials for the districts' and schools' educational use without obtaining permission from TEA.
- 2. Residents of the state of Texas may reproduce and use copies of the Materials and Related Materials for individual personal use only without obtaining written permission of TEA.
- 3. Any portion reproduced must be reproduced in its entirety and remain unedited, unaltered, and unchanged in any way.
- 4. No monetary charge can be made for the reproduced materials or any document containing them; however, a reasonable charge to cover only the cost of reproduction and distribution may be charged.

Private entities or persons located in Texas that are **not** Texas public school districts, Texas Education Service Centers, or Texas charter schools, or any entity, whether public or private, educational or non-educational, located **outside the state of Texas** MUST obtain written approval from TEA and will be required to enter into a license agreement that may involve the payment of a licensing fee or a royalty.

For information contact:

Office of Copyrights, Trademarks, License Agreements, and Royalties Texas Education Agency 1701 N. Congress Ave. Austin, TX 78701-1494 Phone: (512) 463-9270

Email: copyrights@tea.texas.gov

Table of Contents

SECTION I: INTRODUCTION	1
Performance-Based Monitoring Data Validation	3
Differences Between Discipline Data Validation Indicators and Other PBM Indicators	3
Discipline Data Validation Indicators: Background	4
List of 2018 Discipline Data Validation Indicators	5
Data Sources	5
Data Validation Reports	5
Sample Report	7
Data Validation Requirements for Districts	8
Additional Resources	8
SECTION II: 2018 DISCIPLINE DATA VALIDATION INDICATORS	9
Discipline Data Validation Indicator #1: Length of Out-Of-School Suspension	11
Discipline Data Validation Indicator #2: Unauthorized Expulsion - Students Age 10 and Older	12
Discipline Data Validation Indicator #3: Unauthorized Expulsion - Students under Age 10	13
Discipline Data Validation Indicator #4: Unauthorized DAEP Placement -Students under Age 6	14
Discipline Data Validation Indicator #5: High Number of Discretionary DAEP Placements	15
Discipline Data Validation Indicator #6: Black or African American (Not Hispanic/Latino) Discretionary DAEP Placements	16
Discipline Data Validation Indicator #7: Hispanic Discretionary DAEP Placements	17
SECTION III: APPENDICES	19
Appendix: A – Applicable Disciplinary Action Codes from the TSDS PEIMS 44425 Sub-Category	21
Appendix: B – Applicable Disciplinary Reason Codes from the TSDS PEIMS 44425 Sub-Category	22
Appendix: C – ESC Performance-Based Monitoring Contacts	23
Appendix: D – Comments and Questions	25

Section I: Introduction

1

Performance-Based Monitoring Data Validation

The Performance-Based Monitoring (PBM) system, which was developed in response to state and federal statute, is a comprehensive system designed to improve student performance and program effectiveness. The PBM system is a data-driven system that relies on data submitted by districts; therefore, the integrity of districts' data is critical. To ensure data integrity, the PBM system includes annual data validation analyses that examine districts' leaver and dropout data, student assessment data, and discipline data. Additional data analyses, including random audits, are conducted as necessary to ensure the data submitted to the Texas Education Agency (TEA) are accurate and reliable.

Differences Between Discipline Data Validation Indicators and Other PBM Indicators

There are key differences between the discipline data validation indicators used as part of the PBM Data Validation System and the performance indicators used in the Performance-Based Monitoring Analysis System (PBMAS). A PBMAS performance indicator yields a *definitive* result, e.g., 85% of a certain cohort graduated with a high school diploma in four years. A discipline data validation indicator typically *suggests* an anomaly that may require a local review to determine whether the anomalous data are accurate. For example, a district may report it expelled a student for three unexcused absences. This unauthorized expulsion will appear as a data anomaly. The district will need to determine, after a local review and verification process, whether the reported expulsion was a coding error or a failure to comply with discipline requirements. Depending on the indicator, the local review may also conclude the district's data are accurate and verifiable.

Another difference between PBMAS performance indicators and PBM discipline data validation indicators is the criteria used to evaluate districts. In PBMAS, performance indicators include a *range of established cut points* used to evaluate districts, while discipline data validation indicators typically require an *annual review of data* to identify what data may be anomalous or what trends can be observed over time. Evaluation criteria on individual discipline data validation indicators generally are not, and generally cannot be, made public in advance, although there are some exceptions (e.g., Indicators #5 - #7 described in Section II of this manual) where an established standard is used.

The required response by the district is also different depending on whether the district is identified under a PBMAS performance indicator or a PBM discipline data validation indicator. Districts identified with a PBMAS performance indicator concern are generally expected to (a) improve performance; or (b) if the identification of a performance indicator concern occurred because of inaccurate data, improve local data collection and submission procedures. Districts identified as a result of a discipline data validation indicator are generally expected to (a) validate and document their data are, in fact, correct; and (b) if correct data reflect a program implementation concern, address that concern; or (c) if the district's identification occurred because of incorrect data, improve local data collection and submission procedures.

Differences between Discipline Data Validation Indicators and PBMAS Indicators				
Indicator Type	Result	Evaluation Criteria	District Response	
Discipline Data Validation	Suggests an anomaly	Based on annual review of data to identify anomalous data and trends observed over time	Validate accuracy of data locally and, as necessary, improve local data collection and submission procedures or address program implementation concerns	
PBMAS	Yields a definitive result	Based on cut points established in advance	Improve performance or program effectiveness, or if identification occurred because of inaccurate data, improve data collection and submission procedures	

By their very nature and purpose, some discipline data validation indicators may identify one or more districts that are collecting and reporting accurate data. Confirming the accuracy of data is a critical part of the process necessary to validate and safeguard the integrity of the overall PBM system. As such, the process districts engage in to either validate the accuracy of their data or determine that erroneous data were submitted is fundamental to the integrity of the entire system.

Many districts initially identified through a discipline data validation indicator will be able to confirm the accuracy of their data. This is expected and should be handled by those districts as a routine data confirmation that is documented locally and, in some cases, communicated back to the agency. Other districts identified through a discipline data validation indicator will find their anomalous data to be the result of an isolated reporting error that can be addressed through better training, improved quality control of local data collection and submission processes, or other targeted local response. For some districts identified through a discipline data validation indicator, it will be determined the anomalous data reflect a systemic issue within one data collection (e.g., discipline data in general) or a pervasive issue (i.e., across data systems). In these less typical occurrences, the district's response will be more extensive, including more involvement by the agency and the application of sanctions as necessary and appropriate.

Discipline Data Validation Indicators: Background

In 1995, the 74th Texas Legislature enacted the Safe Schools Act, which created Disciplinary Alternative Education Programs (DAEPs) and Juvenile Justice Alternative Education Programs (JJAEPs) to serve students who had committed disciplinary offenses. To evaluate districts' use of DAEPs and JJAEPs and to review the documentation of district-reported discipline information, TEA developed a process for collecting and evaluating discipline data. A record (425 Disciplinary Action Data—Student) was added to the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) to obtain the data necessary for these analyses¹. This record collected both Disciplinary Action <u>Reason</u> Codes and Disciplinary <u>Action</u> Codes in order to capture both the student's conduct and the district's subsequent response. Currently, this record is now referenced as 44425 Sub-Category.

The Texas Education Code (TEC) provides specific authority for TEA to monitor PEIMS data integrity:

§7.028. Limitation on Compliance Monitoring. (a) Except as provided by Section 29.001(5), 29.010(a), or 39.057, the agency may monitor compliance with requirements applicable to a process or program provided by a school district, campus, program, or school granted charters under Chapter 12, including the process described by Subchapter F, Chapter 11, or a program described by Subchapter B, C, D, E, F, H, or I, Chapter 29, Subchapter A, Chapter 37, or Section 38.003, and the use of funds provided for such a program under Subchapter C, Chapter 42, only as necessary to ensure:

• • •

¹ The Texas Student Data System (TSDS), a major TEA initiative, later expanded on the former PEIMS.

- (3) data integrity for purposes of:
 - (A) the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS); and
 - (B) accountability under Chapters 39 and 39A.

. . .

(b) The board of trustees of a school district or the governing body of an open-enrollment charter school has primary responsibility for ensuring that the district or school complies with all applicable requirements of state educational programs.

In addition, TEC §37.008, requires an electronic evaluation of discipline data:

TEC §37.008. Disciplinary Alternative Education Programs. (m-1) The commissioner shall develop a process for evaluating a school district disciplinary alternative education program electronically. The commissioner shall also develop a system and standards for review of the evaluation or use systems already available at the agency. The system must be designed to identify districts that are at high risk of having inaccurate disciplinary alternative education program data or of failing to comply with disciplinary alternative education program requirements. The commissioner shall notify the board of trustees of a district of any objection the commissioner has to the district's disciplinary alternative education program data or of a violation of a law or rule revealed by the data, including any violation of disciplinary alternative education program requirements, or of any recommendation by the commissioner concerning the data. If the data reflect that a penal law has been violated, the commissioner shall notify the county attorney, district attorney, or criminal district attorney, as appropriate, and the attorney general. The commissioner is entitled to access to all district records the commissioner considers necessary or appropriate for the review, analysis, or approval of disciplinary alternative education program data.

Finally, TEC §39.057 authorizes the commissioner to conduct special accreditation investigations:

(5) when extraordinary numbers of student placements in disciplinary alternative education programs, other than placements under Sections 37.006 and 37.007, are determined.

List of 2018 Discipline Data Validation Indicators

The statutory requirements described above, as well as other requirements, are met through seven discipline data validation indicators. Detailed information on these indicators is provided in the next section of this manual.

- 1. Length of Out-of-School Suspension
- 2. Unauthorized Expulsion-Students Age 10 and Older
- 3. Unauthorized Expulsion-Students under Age 10
- 4. Unauthorized DAEP Placement-Students under Age 6
- 5. High Number of Discretionary DAEP Placements
- 6. Black or African American (Not Hispanic/Latino) Discretionary DAEP Placements
- 7. Hispanic Discretionary DAEP Placements

Data Sources

The 2018 discipline data validation analysis for the indicators listed above is based on discipline data from the 2017-2018 school year which were submitted by districts in June 2018. (See Appendix A for a full description of the disciplinary action codes and Appendix B for a list of the disciplinary reason codes used in these indicators.)

Data Validation Reports

District-level reports and certain student-level data² will be generated for each district identified on one or more of the PBM discipline data validation indicators. These reports and student-level data are made available via the Texas Education Agency Secure Environment (TEASE) Accountability application. Districts not identified will receive the following message if they attempt to access the report: "A PBM Discipline Data Validation District Report is not available for your district (number: xxx) due to any of the following reasons: (a) your district did not trigger any indicators in the PBM Discipline Data Validation System; (b) your district did not meet minimum

² Student-level data are not applicable to Indicators #5 - #7.

size requirements for evaluation under certain indicators; or (c) your district did not report any discipline data for the previous school year and therefore was not evaluated in the PBM Discipline Data Validation System."

If a district has been identified on an indicator, relevant information such as the number of instances where specific coding was identified will be noted on each district's report. Only the indicators a district triggers will be listed on the report. For example, in the sample report that follows, only certain indicators are listed because the sample district only triggered the specific indicators shown.

Sample Report

CONFIDENTIAL

Texas Education Agency 2018 PBM Data Validation District Report Discipline Data

Example ISD				Region ZZ	
DATA SOURCE: TSDS PEIMS SUMMER SUBMISSION 2018 (44425 Sub-Category)					
***************************************	*********	*********	********	*********	
INDICATOR				DISTRICT COUNT	
2. UNAUTHORIZED EXPULSION-STUDENTS AGE 10 AND OLDER	R			4	
3. UNAUTHORIZED EXPULSION-STUDENTS UNDER AGE 10				3	
5. HIGH NUMBER OF DISCRETIONARY DAEP PLACEMENTS	STANDARD <3.5	DISTRICT RATE 6.0	NUMERATOR 359	DENOMINATOR 5,982	
7. HISPANIC DISCRETIONARY DAEP PLACEMENTS HISPANIC DAEP PLACEMENTS ALL DAEP PLACEMENTS	<u>STANDARD</u>	DISTRICT RATE 12.5 6.0	NUMERATOR 160 359	<u>DENOMINATOR</u> 1,277 5,982	
DISPROPORTIONALITY RATE	2.0	2.1			
The district's discretionary DAEP placement This rate is calculated by dividing the Hispar				are <u>not</u> rounded.	
ADDITIONAL COMPONENTS FOR ANALYSIS					
HISPANIC ATTENDANCE VS. ALL ATTENI HISPANIC DAEP VS. ALL DAEP	DANCE	21.3 44.6	1,277 160	5,982 359	

While Hispanics represent 21.3% of the district's attendance, they comprise 44.6% of the district's discretionary DAEP placements.

This report contains confidential information and data that are not masked to protect individual student confidentiality. Unauthorized disclosure of confidential student information is illegal as provided in the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974 (FERPA) and implementing federal regulations found in 34 CFR, Part 99.

For detailed information on each of the indicators above, see the 2018 Discipline Data Validation Manual available at https://tea.texas.gov/pbm/DVManuals.aspx.

The data in the sample report can be interpreted as follows:

UNAUTHORIZED EXPULSION-STUDENTS AGE 10 AND OLDER: The district reported 4 instances of unauthorized expulsion of one or more students age 10 and older.

UNAUTHORIZED EXPULSION-STUDENTS UNDER AGE 10: The district reported 3 instances of unauthorized expulsion of one or more students under age 10.

HIGH NUMBER OF DISCRETIONARY DAEP PLACEMENTS: The district reported 359 discretionary DAEP placements and 5,982 students in attendance, resulting in a discretionary DAEP placement rate of 6.0. That rate exceeds the standard of 3.5.

HISPANIC DISCRETIONARY DAEP PLACEMENTS: The district reported 160 discretionary DAEP placements of Hispanic students based on 1,277 Hispanic students in attendance, resulting in a discretionary DAEP placement rate for Hispanic students of 12.5. The rate of disproportionality is 2.1 times higher than the rate reported for all students (6.0) and exceeds the standard of 2.0. Additional data components for analysis are also presented for this indicator.

Data Validation Requirements for Districts

Recently, data validation responsibilities were transferred to the Information Technology Services (ITS) Data Reporting Compliance Unit from the School Improvement Division. ITS will share its process for communication and required activities with districts and charters via a "To the Administrator Addressed" letter on the TEA web page for correspondence. It is the district's obligation to subscribe to the listserv "To the Administrator Addressed" correspondence at

https://public.govdelivery.com/accounts/TXTEA/subscriber/new?topic_id=TXTEA_5.

Additional Resources

Performance-based monitoring contacts at each education service center are available to provide districts with technical assistance concerning the PBM discipline data validation indicators (See Appendix C). Additional resources available for districts are the annual *Texas Education Data Standards* (TEDS) (including Appendix E), which describe the TSDS PEIMS data reporting requirements and provide descriptions of data elements and the codes used to report them, as well as TSDS PEIMS reports that present student rosters listed by both Reason and Action Codes. Districts should ensure that discipline program contacts have access to TSDS PEIMS reports, which may require additional approval to access.

There are three TSDS PEIMS reports that districts may find helpful as part of a local review of discipline data. These reports are based on data reported by districts. When accessing these reports, districts should confirm the collection selected corresponds with the applicable indicator's data source shown on the district's PBM Discipline Data Validation Report.

- PDM3-132-002: Student Disciplinary Action Detail by Reason
- PDM3-132-001: Student Disciplinary Action Roster
- PDM3-132-003: Student Disciplinary Action Summary

These reports, along with other data, such as the Data Element Summary, available locally to districts, can be used to identify and analyze the specific instances that caused a district to trigger one or more of the PBM discipline data validation indicators.

Section II: 2018 Discipline Data Validation Indicators

Discipline Data Validation Indicator #1: Length of Out-Of-School Suspension

This indicator identifies districts with one or more students reported as suspended out-of-school (OSS) for more than three school days (regular districts) or more than 10 school days (charters).

Minimum Size Requirements

Not Applicable

Notes

- Charters are included in this indicator.
- Disciplinary Reason Codes are not considered in this indicator.
- If a student receives out-of-school suspension for a partial school day (even if for one class period), that partial day is considered one of the total out-of-school suspension days.

Applicable Disciplinary Action Codes from the TSDS PEIMS 44425 Sub-Category

• Out-of-school suspensions are calculated based on Disciplinary Action Codes 05 and 25. The Official Length of Disciplinary Assignment and the Actual Length of Disciplinary Assignment are calculated for either Action Code 05 or 25, or cumulatively if both codes are used for the same incident.

Discipline Data Validation Indicator #2: Unauthorized Expulsion - Students Age 10 and Older

This indicator identifies districts with one or more students reported as expelled from their regular education setting for an unauthorized disciplinary reason.

Minimum Size Requirements

• Not Applicable

Notes

- Charters are **not** included in this indicator.
- A district will trigger this indicator if it reports any combination of the Action and Reason Codes that follow. For example, a district that reports expelling a student without placement in another education setting as a result of a formal expulsion hearing (Action Code 01) for fighting/mutual combat (Reason Code 41) will trigger this indicator for the unauthorized expulsion.

Applicable Disciplinary Action Codes from the TSDS PEIMS 44425 Sub-Category

• Codes 01, 02, 03, 04, 09, 11, 12, 15, 50, 51, 52, 53, 56, 58, 59, and 61.

Applicable Disciplinary Reason Codes from the TSDS PEIMS 44425 Sub-Category

• Codes 01, 02, 07, 21, 28, 33, 34, 41, and 56.

Discipline Data Validation Indicator #3: Unauthorized Expulsion - Students under Age 10

This indicator identifies districts that reported expelling one or more students under age 10 for a disciplinary reason other than expelling a student to a DAEP for bringing a firearm to school.

Minimum Size Requirements

• Not Applicable

Notes

- Charters are **not** included in this indicator.
- Reason Code 11 (*Brought a firearm to school—TEC §37.007(e) or unlawful carrying of a handgun under Penal Code 46.02–TEC §37.007(a) (1))* is **not** considered in this indicator.
- A district will trigger this indicator if it reports any of the following Action Codes for a student under age 10 for any Reason Code other than Reason Code 11. For example, a district that reports expelling a nine-year old student with placement in a JJAEP as a result of a formal expulsion hearing (Action Code 02) for violation of the student code of conduct (Reason Code 21) will trigger the indicator for the unauthorized expulsion.

Applicable Disciplinary Action Codes from the TSDS PEIMS 44425 Sub-Category

• Codes 01, 02, 03, 04, 09, 11, 12, 15, 50, 51, 52, 53, 56, 58, 59, and 61.

Discipline Data Validation Indicator #4: Unauthorized DAEP Placement - Students under Age 6

This indicator identifies districts that reported a DAEP placement of one or more students under age 6 for a disciplinary reason other than expelling a student to a DAEP for bringing a firearm to school.

Minimum Size Requirements

• Not Applicable

Notes

- Charters are included in this indicator.
- Reason Code 11 (Brought a firearm to school—TEC §37.007(e) or unlawful carrying of a handgun under Penal Code 46.02–TEC §37.007(a) (1)) is **not** considered in this indicator.
- A district will trigger this indicator if it reports any of the following Action Codes for a student under age six for any Reason Code other than Reason Code 11. For example, a district that reports placing a five-year old student in an on-campus or off-campus DAEP as a result of a conference, rather than a formal hearing as required for expulsion (Action Code 07) for violating the local code of conduct (Reason Code 21) will trigger the indicator for the unauthorized DAEP placement.

Applicable Disciplinary Action Codes from the TSDS PEIMS 44425 Sub-Category

• Codes 07, 08, 10, 14, 54, 55, and 57.

Discipline Data Validation Indicator #5: High Number of Discretionary DAEP Placements

This indicator identifies districts with a discretionary DAEP placement rate of 3.5 or higher for all students.

Calculation

number of discretionary DAEP placements of all students number of all students in attendance

Minimum Size Requirements:

• Numerator ≥ 30

Notes

- Charters are included in this indicator.
- Only one action per incident number is counted under this indicator, and the actual length of disciplinary assignment must be greater than zero.

Applicable Disciplinary Action Codes from the TSDS PEIMS 44425 Sub-Category

• Codes 07, 08, and 10.

Applicable Disciplinary Reason Codes from the TSDS PEIMS 44425 Sub-Category

• Codes 01, 02³, 10, 21, 23, 33, 34, 41, 49, and 56.

³ If reported with Behavior Location Code 04 (Off campus, and further than 300 feet from the campus boundary; not while in attendance at a school-sponsored or school-related activity) or 05 (On campus of another school district, or while in attendance at a school-sponsored or school-related activity of another school district).

Discipline Data Validation Indicator #6: Black or African American (Not Hispanic/Latino) Discretionary DAEP Placements

This indicator identifies districts with a discretionary DAEP placement rate for Black or African American students that is more than 2.0 times higher than the discretionary DAEP placement rate for all students.

Calculation

1. Discretionary DAEP placement rate for Black or African American students:

number of discretionary DAEP placements of Black or African American students number of African American students in attendance

2. Discretionary DAEP placement rate for all students:

number of discretionary DAEP placements of all students number of all students in attendance

3. Disproportionality Rate:

Black or African American discretionary DAEP placement rate all students' discretionary DAEP placement rate

Minimum Size Requirements:

• Numerator ≥ 30

Notes

- The minimum size requirements for this indicator are evaluated at the first step of the indicator's calculation.
- The calculation's intermediate results are <u>not</u> rounded. This multiple decimal place precision helps ensure the accuracy of the disproportionality rate.
- Charters are included in this indicator.
- A Black or African American student for purposes of this indicator is a student who is not reported as Hispanic/Latino and is reported (with only one race) as Black or African American.
- Only one action per incident number is counted under this indicator, and the actual length of disciplinary assignment must be greater than zero.

Applicable Disciplinary Action Codes from the TSDS PEIMS 44425 Sub-Category

• Codes 07, 08, and 10.

Applicable Disciplinary Reason Codes from the TSDS PEIMS 44425 Sub-Category

• Codes 01, 02⁴, 10, 21, 23, 33, 34, 41, 49, and 56.

⁴ If reported with Behavior Location Code 04 (Off campus, and further than 300 feet from the campus boundary; not while in attendance at a school-sponsored or school-related activity) or 05 (On campus of another school district, or while in attendance at a school-sponsored or school-related activity of another school district).

Discipline Data Validation Indicator #7: Hispanic Discretionary DAEP Placements

This indicator identifies districts with a discretionary DAEP placement rate for Hispanic students that is more than 2.0 times higher than the discretionary DAEP placement rate for all students.

Calculation

1. Discretionary DAEP placement rate for Hispanic students:

number of discretionary DAEP placements of Hispanic students number of Hispanic students in attendance

2. Discretionary DAEP placement rate for all students:

number of discretionary DAEP placements of all students number of all students in attendance

3. Disproportionality Rate:

Hispanic discretionary DAEP placement rate all students' discretionary DAEP placement rate

Minimum Size Requirements:

• Numerator ≥ 30

Notes

- The minimum size requirements for this indicator are evaluated at the first step of the indicator's calculation.
- The calculation's intermediate results are <u>not</u> rounded. This multiple decimal place precision helps ensure the accuracy of the disproportionality rate.
- Charters are included in this indicator.
- A Hispanic student for purposes of this indicator is a student who is reported as Hispanic/Latino regardless of the student's reported race(s).
- Only one action per incident number is counted under this indicator, and the actual length of disciplinary assignment must be greater than zero.

Applicable Disciplinary Action Codes from the PEIMS 44425 Sub-Category

• Codes 07, 08, and 10.

Applicable Disciplinary Reason Codes from the PEIMS 44425 Sub-Category

• Codes 01, 02⁵, 10, 21, 23, 33, 34, 41, 49, and 56.

⁵ If reported with Behavior Location Code 04 (Off campus, and further than 300 feet from the campus boundary; not while in attendance at a school-sponsored or school-related activity) or 05 (On campus of another school district, or while in attendance at a school-sponsored or school-related activity of another school district).

Section III: Appendices

Appendix: A – Applicable Disciplinary Action Codes from the TSDS PEIMS 44425 Sub-Category

Codes	Disciplinary Action
01	Expulsion (TEC §37.007) without placement in another educational setting as a result of a formal expulsion hearing [TEC §37.009(f)]
02	Expulsion (TEC §37.007) with placement in a JJAEP as a result of a formal expulsion hearing [TEC §37.009(f)]
03	Expulsion (TEC §37.007) with placement in an on-campus DAEP as a result of a formal expulsion hearing [TEC §37.009(f)]
04	Expulsion (TEC §37.007) with placement in an off-campus DAEP as a result of a formal expulsion hearing [TEC §37.009(f)]
05	Out-of-school suspension
07	Placement in an on-campus or off-campus DAEP (TEC §37.008) as a result of a conference [TEC §37.009(a)], rather than a formal hearing as required for expulsion
08	Continuation of other district's DAEP placement
09	Continuation of other district's expulsion order
10	Continuation of the district's DAEP placement from the prior school year
11	Continuation of the district's expulsion order from the prior school year
12	Continuation of the district's expulsion with placement to a JJAEP from the prior school year
14	Placement in a DAEP by court order
15	Continuation of other district's expulsion with placement to a JJAEP
25	Partial day out-of-school suspension
50	Expulsion without placement in another educational setting as a result of a determination by a special education hearing officer (not a hearing officer employed or appointed by the district)
51	Expulsion with placement to a JJAEP as a result of a determination by a special education hearing officer (not a hearing officer employed or appointed by the district)
52	Expulsion with placement to an on-campus DAEP as a result of a determination by a special education hearing officer (not a hearing officer employed or appointed by the district)
53	Expulsion with placement to an off-campus DAEP as a result of a determination by a special education hearing officer (not a hearing officer employed or appointed by the district)
54	Placement in an alternative education program established under TEC §37.008 as a result of a determination by a special education hearing officer (not a hearing officer employed or appointed by the district)
55	Continuation of other district's DAEP placement as a result of a determination by a special education hearing officer (not a hearing officer employed or appointed by the district)
56	Continuation of other district's expulsion order as a result of a determination by a special education hearing officer (not a hearing officer employed or appointed by the district)
57	Continuation of the district's DAEP placement from the prior school year as a result of a determination by a special education hearing officer (not a hearing officer employed or appointed by the district)
58	Continuation of the district's expulsion order from the prior school year as a result of a determination by a special education hearing officer (not a hearing officer employed or appointed by the district)
59	Continuation of the district's expulsion with placement to a JJAEP from the prior school year as a result of a determination by a special education hearing officer (not a hearing officer employed or appointed by the district)
61	Continuation of other district's expulsion with placement to a JJAEP as a result of a determination by a special education hearing officer (not a hearing officer employed or appointed by the district)

Appendix: B – Applicable Disciplinary Reason Codes from the TSDS PEIMS 44425 Sub-Category

Codes	Disciplinary Reason
01	Permanent removal by a teacher from class – TEC §37.002(b)
02	Conduct punishable as a felony – TEC §37.006(a)(2)(A)
07	Public lewdness or indecent exposure – TEC §37.006(a)(2)(F)
10	Based on conduct occurring off campus and while the student is not in attendance at a school-sponsored or school-related activity for felony offenses not in Title 5, Penal Code – TEC §37.006(d) and TEC §37.007(b)(4)
11	Brought a firearm to school – TEC §37.007(e) or unlawful carrying of a handgun under Penal Code 46.02 – TEC §37.007(a)(1)
21	Violation of student code of conduct not included under TEC §§37.002(b), 37.006, or 37.007 (does not include student code of conduct violations covered in reason codes 33 and 34)
23	Emergency placement/expulsion – TEC §37.019
28	Assault under Penal Code §22.01(a)(1) against someone other than a school district employee or volunteer – TEC §37.006(a)(2)(B)
33	Possessed, purchased, used, or accepted a cigarette or tobacco product as defined in the Health and Safety Code, Section 3.01, Chapter 161.252
34	School-related gang violence – Action by three or more persons having a common identifying sign or symbol, or an identifiable sign or symbol, or an identifiable leadership who associate in the commission of criminal activities under Penal Code §71.01
41	Fighting/Mutual combat – Excludes all offenses under Penal Code §22.01
48	Criminally negligent homicide – TEC §37.007(a)(2)(H)
49	Engages in deadly conduct – TEC §37.007(b)(3)
56	Registered sex offender, not under court supervision – TEC §37.305

Appendix: C – ESC Performance-Based Monitoring ContactsESC Performance Based Monitoring Contacts are updated by each ESC and can be found at

http://mansfield.tea.state.tx.us/tea.askted.web/Forms/Home.aspx, using the Search RESCs function.

Full Name	Region	City	Phone	Email Address
BELINDA GORENA	1	EDINBURG	(956) 984-6173	bgorena@esc1.net
DAN BAEN	2	CORPUS CHRISTI	(361) 561-8415	dan.baen@esc2.us
LINDA RIDDLE	2	CORPUS CHRISTI	(361) 561-8508	linda.riddle@esc2.us
NORMA TORRES-MARTINEZ	2	CORPUS CHRISTI	(361) 561-8407	norma.torres-martinez@esc2.us
JOANNE FERGUSON	2	CORPUS CHRISTI	(361) 561-8520	joanne.ferguson@esc2.us
MARTHA ROSE	2	CORPUS CHRISTI	(361) 561-8523	martha.rose@esc2.us
LISA HERNANDEZ	3	VICTORIA	(361) 573-0731 ext:270	Ihernandez@esc3.net
MOLLY KRESTA	3	VICTORIA	(361) 573-0731 ext:226	mkresta@esc3.net
KENDA MATSON	3	VICTORIA	(361) 573-0731 ext:321	KMatson@esc3.net
DIONNE HUGHES	3	VICTORIA	(361) 573-0731 ext:212	dhughes@esc3.net
MITZI MCAFEE	3	VICTORIA	(361) 573-0731 ext:214	MMcafee@esc3.net
DR LINDA HALL	4	HOUSTON	(713) 744-6399	Ihall@esc4.net
JERRY KLEKOTTA	4	HOUSTON	(713) 744-6393	gklekotta@esc4.net
ANGEL LOZANO	4	HOUSTON	(713) 744-6596	angel.lozano@esc4.net
MONELLE ROUGEAU	4	HOUSTON	(713) 744-6581	monelle.rougeau@esc4.net
DANETTE THORNTON	4	HOUSTON	(713) 744-6578	danette.thornton@esc4.net
ITZIL WELCH	4	HOUSTON	(713) 744-4487	itzil.welch@esc4.net
MONICA MAHFOUZ	5	BEAUMONT	(409) 951-1702	mmahfouz@esc5.net
SANDY CAMMARATA-GARCIA	6	HUNTSVILLE	(936) 435-8235	sgarcia@esc6.net
DARA FULLER	6	HUNTSVILLE	(936) 435-8313	dfuller@esc6.net
DR CATHERINE GEORGE	6	HUNTSVILLE	(936) 435-8207	cgeorge@esc6.net
JESSICA HASSELL	6	HUNTSVILLE	(936) 435-8355	Jhassell@esc6.net
BETH NESMITH	6	HUNTSVILLE	(936) 435-8243	bnesmith@esc6.net
DR STEVE JOHNSON	6	HUNTSVILLE	(936) 435-8290	sjohnson@esc6.net
LAURA REDDEN	6	HUNTSVILLE	(936) 435-8293	Iredden@esc6.net
TALLY STOUT	6	HUNTSVILLE	(936) 435-8371	Tstout@esc6.net
JAYNE TAVENNER	6	HUNTSVILLE	(936) 435-8242	jtavenner@esc6.net
TAMMY WALKER	6	HUNTSVILLE	(936) 435-8360	twalker@esc6.net
SARAH WRIGHT	6	HUNTSVILLE	(936) 435-8400	swright@esc6.net
HENRYETT LOVELY	7	KILGORE	(903) 988-6854	hlovely@esc7.net
MS SHIRLEY AGAN	8	MT PLEASANT	(903) 572-8551 ext:2769	sagan@reg8.net
MR LEONARD BELES	8	MT PLEASANT	(903) 572-8551 ext:2740	lbeles@reg8.net
MS KERRI BOWLES	8	MT PLEASANT	(903) 572-8551 ext:2720	kbowles@reg8.net
MRS DEBRA CROOMS	8	MT PLEASANT	(903) 575-2733	dcrooms@reg8.net
MS DEBBIE DREW	8	MT PLEASANT	(903) 575-2713	ddrew@reg8.net
MRS RICHELE LANGLEY	8	MT PLEASANT	(903) 575-2605	rlangley@reg8.net
MS KAREN J THOMPSON	8	MT PLEASANT	(903) 572-8551 ext:2616	karen.thompson@reg8.net
MR WAYNE SNYDER	8	MT PLEASANT	(903) 572-8551 ext:2649	wsnyder@reg8.net
DARREN FRANCIS	9	WICHITA FALLS	(940) 322-6928 ext:302	darren.francis@esc9.net
KENNY MILLER	9	WICHITA FALLS	(940) 322-6928	kenny.miller@esc9.net
CINDY MOSES	9	WICHITA FALLS	(940) 322-6928	cindy.moses@esc9.net
MICKI WESLEY	9	WICHITA FALLS	(940) 322-6928	micki.wesley@esc9.net
BECKY BOOK	9	RICHARDSON	(972) 348-1434	becky.book@region10.org
KIM GILSON	10	RICHARDSON	(972) 348-1480	kim.gilson@region10.org
ANNA GRIFFITHS	10	RICHARDSON	(972) 348-1360	anna.griffiths@region10.org
MICHAEL MILBURN	10	RICHARDSON	(972) 348-1632	Michael.milburn@region10.org
KATHY DUNIVEN	10	RICHARDSON	(817) 740-7583	kduniven@esc11.net
GRETCHEN KROOS	11	WHITE SETTLEMENT	(817) 740-7630	gkroos@esc11.net
LAURA MCKEAN	11	WHITE SETTLEMENT	(817) 740-7608	Imckean@esc11.net
2018 Discipling Data Validation Manua		1	<u> </u>	22

Full Name	Region	City	Phone	Email Address
CARIE DOWNES	12	WACO	(254) 297-1252	cdownes@esc12.net
CHRIS GRIFFIN	12	WACO	(254) 297-1163	cgriffin@esc12.net
ELLEN HOGAN	12	WACO	(254) 297-1195	ehogan@esc12.net
CHRISTINE HOLECEK	12	WACO	(254) 297-1284	cholecek@esc12.net
STEPHANIE KUCERA	12	WACO	(254) 297-1154	skucera@esc12.net
NATALIE WEBER	13	AUSTIN	(512) 919-5174	natalie.weber@esc13.txed.net
EMILIA MORENO	14	ABILENE	(325) 675-8674	emoreno@esc14.net
LISA WHITE	14	ABILENE	(325) 675-8616	lwhite@esc14.net
DAVID BEDFORD	15	SAN ANGELO	(325) 658-6571 ext:4023	david.bedford@esc15.net
LAURA STRUBE	15	SAN ANGELO	(325) 481-4065	laura.strube@esc15.net
SHIRLEY CLARK	16	AMARILLO	(806) 677-5130	shirley.clark@esc16.net
HEATHER BLOUNT	17	LUBBOCK	(806) 281-5817	hblount@esc17.net
LEE LENTZ-EDWARDS	18	MIDLAND	(432) 563-2380	llentz@esc18.net
INDHIRA SALAZAR	18	MIDLAND	(432) 567-3275	isalazar@esc18.net
PATRICK SHAFFER	18	MIDLAND	(432) 561-4323	pcshaffer@esc18.net
JAMYE SWINFORD	18	MIDLAND	(432) 561-4350	jswinfor@esc18.net
DR MARIA LUISA NIESTAS	19	EL PASO	(915) 780-6551	mlniestas@esc19.net
REBECCA ONTIVEROS	19	EL PASO	(915) 780-5093	rontiveros@esc19.net
DAWN WHITE	20	SAN ANTONIO	(210) 370-5402	dawn.white@esc20.net

The performance-based monitoring contact information for Appendix C is provided by each education service center (ESC). If contact information is missing, call the ESC main number listed at https://tea.texas.gov/regional_services/esc/ for assistance.

Appendix: D – Comments and Questions

COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS				
Questions about the 2018 Discipline Data Validation Indicators should be addressed to:	Questions about Data Reporting Compliance Reviews should be addressed to:			
Performance-Based Monitoring Phone: (512) 936-6426 Email: pbm@tea.texas.gov	ITS Data Reporting Compliance Unit Phone: (512) 463-5738 Email: DRCU@tea.texas.gov Recently, data validation responsibilities were transferred to the Information Technology Services (ITS) Data Reporting Compliance Unit from the School Improvement Division. ITS will share its process for communication and required activities with districts and charters via a "To the Administrator Addressed" letter on the TEA web page for correspondence. It is the district's obligation to subscribe to the listserv "To the Administrator Addressed" correspondence at https://public.govdelivery.com/accounts/TXTEA/subscriber/new?topic_id=TXTEA_5.			

Comments on the 2018 Discipline Data Validation Indicators are welcome and will assist the agency in its evaluation and future development efforts. Comments may be submitted to Performance-Based Monitoring, Texas Education Agency, 1701 North Congress Avenue, Austin, Texas 78701-1494 or sent via e-mail to pbm@tea.texas.gov. Comments should be provided no later than March 8, 2019, to allow sufficient time for consideration in the 2019 data validation development cycle.



Texas Education Agency Performance-Based Monitoring 1701 North Congress Avenue Austin, Texas 78701-1494